



Imperial County Employees' Retirement System

Investment Performance Review

August 2026

Table of Contents

Investment Landscape Tab I

Investment Performance Review Tab II

3rd Quarter 2026 Investment Landscape

Institutional Consulting

Table of Contents

Economic environment	9
Fixed income rates & credit	23
Equity	30
Other assets	42
Appendix	45

Business Update

Since our last Investment Landscape webinar:

- › We hosted our clients in Seattle for our 2026 Institutional Client Summit
- › CPIC hired Nate Patzwald and Ashley Andrews as Investment Analysts in our Seattle office
- › Partner Tim McEnery, CFA, was announced as a CIO Knowledge Broker for 2026
- › Recent research
 - *Real Assets Outlook*
- › Upcoming research
 - *Private Equity Outlook*

Recent Cerity Partners research

Thought Leadership

TOP TEN THOUGHTS FOR 2026

Each year CPIC identifies a set of themes we believe investors should weigh in the year ahead—some centered on the economy and markets, others on geopolitics and broader structural issues. The paper also revisits the prior year's forecasts.

LDI FOR PUBLIC SPONSORS

We examine the divergence in LDI adoption across sponsor types, the plan characteristics that make liability-driven strategies advantageous, and why the current environment makes LDI look relatively attractive today.

STATE OF THE CORE REAL ESTATE FUND UNIVERSE

CPIC assesses the state of the core real estate fund universe, including the mixed fundamentals facing the asset class and the elevated redemption queues that continue to pressure certain funds and frustrate investors seeking liquidity.

THIS MATTERS, AND THIS DOESN'T

We share perspectives on recognizing and, where possible, avoiding bias—identifying what matters most to the portfolio by drawing on a balanced set of information sources, remaining alert to bias, and weighing incentives carefully, while also discerning what does not matter.

2nd quarter summary

THE ECONOMY

- › U.S. real GDP growth in Q2 was weaker than expected at 1.5% QoQ annualized. The ongoing trade deficit acted as a significant drag on growth, although consumer spending and investment trends suggest core economic activity remains strong. The current trade deficit should arguably be viewed less poorly, as importation of AI-related hardware and equipment will likely help drive future business productivity.
- › U.S. inflation jumped considerably during Q2 as the U.S.-Iran conflict led to a historically severe global oil supply shortage. Inflation spiked to 4.2% in May—more than double the Fed's 2% target—then fell sharply to 3.5% in June as a possible peace deal led oil prices back nearly to pre-crisis levels. However, inflation is not all about energy and the Strait of Hormuz, as massive AI investment has driven demand-pull inflation across a variety of materials and goods.

EQUITY

- › Emerging markets outperformed, up +24.1%. The U.S. market generated +15.2%, while international developed equity lagged but still advanced a solid +10.8%. Q2 year-over-year S&P 500 earnings growth is expected to reach +23.3% (FactSet, as of July 2nd), and full-year 2026 corporate earnings are projected to grow a remarkable +24.1%.
- › Small caps again outperformed large caps, extending a one-year run of +18.5% relative outperformance, though the asset class still lags meaningfully on a 3- and 5-year trailing basis. Growth led Value in Q2, even as Value retains a +9.8% edge over the past year. Sector effects drove much of the style dispersion, with Info Technology a standout in Q2 (+31.8%).

FIXED INCOME

- › Kevin Warsh was sworn in as Fed Chairman on May 22nd, in a historically divided 54–45 Senate vote. Warsh takes the helm amid an energy shock from the Iran conflict, resurgent inflation, and historically depressed consumer sentiment. A key question is whether he leans dovish—consistent with his rhetoric ahead of nomination—or hawkish, given his hardline inflation stance and long-standing preference for shrinking the Fed's balance sheet and narrowing its role.
- › Concerns around private credit persisted, now centered largely on investor redemption requests, which remained substantial and above the standard 5% quarterly limit imposed by many funds. In several cases, redemptions have come disproportionately from a small subset of investors—suggesting greater underlying stability than the headlines imply. Credit defaults and stress remain broadly muted.

ASSET ALLOCATION ISSUES

- › Risk assets performed well as expanding corporate earnings and tighter credit spreads lifted fixed income returns. Global equities returned +14.9% and U.S. high yield bonds gained +2.5%. Commodities notably lagged: oil fell on optimism around a U.S.–Iran peace deal, while gold retreated sharply after its remarkable 2025 rally, alongside declines in other metals. **p. 50**
- › Market-priced volatility stayed subdued despite geopolitical risk. U.S. inflation pushed above 4%—double the Fed's target—leading markets to brace for future rate hikes rather than the cuts previously expected. The U.S. and Iran signed the Islamabad Memorandum, though hostilities reignited in early July. The VIX ended June at 16.5.

Risk assets generated very strong returns during Q2 on substantial profit growth and tighter credit spreads

Conflict around the Strait of Hormuz continued, though market pricing suggests little concern

What drove the market in Q2?

“AI spending, earnings hopes, Fed outlook set to sway US in second half”

S&P 500 earnings year-over-year

Q1 2026	Q2 2026*	Q3 2026*	2026*	2027*
28.8%	23.6%	26.6%	24.2%	17.4%

Article Source: Reuters, June 30th, 2026

*Expected earnings growth YoY

“US releases official agreement with Iran”

WTI Crude Oil Spot

2/20	3/9	4/3	5/1	6/1	7/1
\$66	\$94	\$111	\$101	\$93	\$68

Article Source: CNN, June 17th, 2026

“Inflation cools more than expected in June as gas costs fall”

U.S. Inflation (year-over-year)

Nov '25	Dec '25	Jan '26	Feb '26	Mar '26	Apr '26	May '26	Jun '26
2.7%	2.7%	2.4%	2.4%	3.3%	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%

Article Source: AP News, July 14th, 2026

“America’s job market looks strong. So why is it so difficult to find work?”

Change in Employment (% year-over-year)

Jan '26	Feb '26	Mar '26	April '26	May '26	June '26
0.20%	0.08%	0.17%	0.20%	0.27%	0.32%


Article Source: CNN, June 1st, 2026

S&P 500 INDEX




Source: Standard & Poor's, as of 6/30/26

HORMUZ COMMERCIAL CARGO CROSSINGS



Source: Bloomberg, CPIC, as of 7/6/26

U.S. MONTHLY JOB GROWTH



Source: FRED, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Economic environment

U.S. economics summary

- › U.S. real GDP in Q2 grew at a weaker than expected 1.5% QoQ annualized rate. An ongoing trade deficit acted as a significant drag on growth, fueled partly by imports of AI-related infrastructure and hardware. While trade deficits are often viewed as negative for the economy, it is worth noting that a deficit due to AI buildout should arguably be viewed less poorly, as these imports will likely be used to improve productivity and expand business capabilities in the U.S.
- › The U.S.–Iran conflict caused significant disruption to energy supplies, but has had surprisingly little effect on the broader economy. Several factors seem to explain the resilience: major economies drew on their own large reserves, easing supply pressure; some producers continued to export through routes other than the Strait; and the global energy market entered the conflict in surplus rather than scarcity. Perhaps just as important, the world's reliance on fossil fuels is far lower than in prior energy crises, muting the economic and inflationary fallout this time around.
- › U.S. inflation jumped considerably during Q2 given the U.S.–Iran conflict. Inflation spiked to 4.2% in May—more than double the Fed's 2% target—then fell

sharply to 3.5% in June as a possible peace deal led oil prices back nearly to pre-crisis levels. However, inflation is not all about energy and the Strait of Hormuz, as massive AI investment has driven demand-pull inflation across a variety of materials and goods.

- › Unemployment fell from 4.3% to 4.2%. The decline, however, largely reflected a falling participation rate, as workers aged 55 and older have steadily exited the workforce since the pandemic. Participation among the 55+ cohort now sits at its lowest level since 2005. We would describe the labor market as strong in certain ways—historically low unemployment with minimal layoff activity—but soft beneath the surface, with subdued hiring and a workforce that is barely expanding.
- › Consumer sentiment stayed depressed through Q2, near record lows per the University of Michigan. It improved modestly in June as gasoline prices eased, fears around the Iran conflict began to subside, and households grew more optimistic about business conditions. The Conference Board's Consumer Confidence Index likewise remained soft, though its short-term outlook for income, business, and labor-market conditions firmed.

	Most Recent	12 Months Prior
Real GDP (YoY)	2.1% 6/30/2026	2.1% 6/30/2025
Inflation (CPI YoY, Core)	2.6% 6/30/2026	2.9% 6/30/2025
Expected Inflation (5yr-5yr forward)	2.2% 6/30/2026	2.3% 6/30/2025
Fed Funds Target Range	3.50% - 3.75% 6/30/2026	4.25% - 4.50% 6/30/2025
10-Year Rate	4.5% 6/30/2026	4.2% 6/30/2025
U-3 Unemployment	4.2% 6/30/2026	4.1% 6/30/2025
U-6 Unemployment	7.9% 6/30/2026	7.7% 6/30/2025

Source: Bloomberg

Inflation

U.S. inflation reaccelerated sharply in Q2 as the U.S.–Iran conflict drove a historically severe global oil-supply shortage. CPI spiked to 4.2% in May—more than double the Fed's 2% target—before falling back to 3.5% in June as a prospective peace deal returned oil prices toward pre-crisis levels. Energy is only part of the story, however: intense AI-related investment has generated demand-pull inflation across a range of materials and goods (e.g., laptops, mobile phones, computer hardware, electricity). Prices are now outpacing U.S. wage growth and eroding household purchasing power.


On the shelter side, prices rose just 0.1% month-over-month in June—a key driver of the -0.4% move in headline

CPI during that month—potentially an early sign that the flat rents and moderate home-price gains of recent years are finally filtering into official CPI data, which tends to lag the market.

Consumer anxiety over the conflict and its inflationary implications eased notably in June, per the University of Michigan survey. One-year inflation expectations fell to 4.2% (down from 4.8% in May), while five-year expectations fell to 3.3% from 3.9%—roughly in line with the recent average of the past year. Market-based measures also declined notably following the peace deal, though hostilities resumed in early July: the 2-year TIPS breakeven fell from a Q1 high of nearly 3.4% to 2.0% by end of June.


› The Strait reopened during the quarter, but the military conflict reignited in July, causing more confusion

U.S. CPI (YOY)



Source: BLS, as of 6/30/26

CRUDE OIL VS. GAS PRICES



Source: BLS, CPIC, as of 7/2/26

MONTHLY PRICE MOVEMENT (CPI)




Source: FRED, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Dynamics that led to higher inflation could now lead to easing

- › WTI crude spiked from roughly \$70/bbl pre-conflict to above \$110/bbl before retracing to the ~\$70-\$80/bbl range in early July. Should gasoline and related prices track this reversal, the initial inflationary impulse could give way to disinflation. This was evident in June's U.S. inflation report, which reflected a sharp single month move lower from 4.2% to 3.5%. **However, military strikes between the U.S. and Iran continued later in July, and there is a high amount of uncertainty around the direction of energy prices.**
- › Housing prices in June showed the weakest monthly price rise since early 2021. Since shelter costs are roughly one-third of the U.S. inflation rate, a trend towards more subdued housing inflation could have a significant disinflationary impact on official inflation numbers (this trend would make sense, as actual rents have been flat for multiple years, and home price appreciation has been moderate).
- › Tariffs typically exert a one-time, level effect on prices—once in place for a full year, their year-over-year contribution fades to zero. With tariffs now past the one-year mark, this base effect should become a headwind to year-over-year inflation. Compounding the point, the effective tariff rate has drifted lower over the past year amid court challenges and refunds (e.g., IEEPA).
- › U.S. wage growth has decelerated for more than four years and now trails the pace of inflation. As wages are widely regarded as a durable driver of underlying inflation, this continued softening likely creates some disinflationary effects.

A (very) slow normalization in the Strait of Hormuz


HORMUZ COMMERCIAL CARGO CROSSINGS



Source: CPIC, Bloomberg, as of 7/6/26

Markets expect inflation to return to normal

MARKET IMPLIED INFLATION RATES



- › At the onset of the U.S.–Iran conflict, markets began repricing the inflation outlook
- › With shipping through the Strait of Hormuz resuming towards the end of the quarter, markets began to expect inflation normalization

Source: CPIC, Bloomberg, as of 7/17/26 – 5-year 5-year forward contract represents the rate of inflation starting 5 years from today and ending 10 years from today

GDP Growth

U.S. real GDP growth in Q2 came in weaker than expected at a 1.5% quarter-over-quarter annualized rate. An ongoing trade deficit acted as a significant drag on growth, fueled partly by imports of AI-related infrastructure and hardware. While trade deficits are often viewed poorly, it is worth noting that a deficit due to AI buildout should arguably be viewed less negatively, as these imports will likely be used to improve productivity and expand future business capabilities here at home.


Outside of trade, core economic trends appear strong. Retail sales since February have been incredibly robust,

reflecting accelerating overall spending, while investment and business capital expenditures have provided a tailwind.

Recent quarters of GDP growth have been more modest than many investors had hoped, especially given broad AI infrastructure tailwinds. With calendar year 2026 real GDP growth expected to be in a 2-2.5% range, the next two quarters will need to show fairly rapid growth to achieve that rate.


› Q2 GDP growth was weaker than expected, dragged lower by the trade deficit

U.S. REAL GDP GROWTH



Source: FRED, as of 6/30/26


U.S. REAL GDP COMPONENTS (QOQ ANNUALIZED)




Source: FRED, as of 6/30/26

U.S. manufacturing activity could be turning a corner

MANUFACTURING EMPLOYMENT




CONSTRUCTION SPENDING: MANUFACTURING




› Manufacturing activity has clearly picked up in certain respects, even as investment in new facilities and future capacity continues to decline

MANUFACTURING CAPACITY UTILIZATION



ISM PMI MANUFACTURING



Source: FRED (top left, top right, bottom left), Institute for Supply Management (bottom right), CPIC


Labor Market

The unemployment rate edged down over the quarter from 4.3% to 4.2%, which on the surface points to a firm labor market. The underlying picture is more nuanced. The decline largely reflects a falling participation rate, as workers aged 55 and older have exited the workforce steadily since the pandemic (when a discouraged job-seeker abandons the search, measured unemployment falls). Participation among the 55+ cohort has slipped to its lowest level since 2005. On balance, we would characterize today's labor market as solid regarding the level—healthy employment and historically low unemployment—yet soft at the margin, with subdued hiring and a workforce that is barely expanding, likely reflecting reduced net immigration and a low birth rate.

Despite persistent headlines around layoffs—AI-related terminations in particular—aggregate separations remain very low by historical standards. We think the phrase *low hire, low fire* best captures the current equilibrium. Additionally, there seems to be more talk about the potential for AI adoption to lead to *more hiring* in AI-intensive firms, rather than less. This argument suggests that as the cost of professional services falls due to AI, the demand and market for these services expands, with the total number of firms growing and employment also expanding. We suspect reality will fall somewhere in the middle: expanding demand and opportunity in certain segments of the economy, with concerning job losses in other segments.

› The job market seems to have stabilized and may be strengthening, although the total growth rate is historically slow

LAYOFFS




Source: FRED, as of 5/31/26

LABOR PARTICIPATION TRENDS

	Overall Participation	16-19 Years	20-24 Years	25-54 Years	55+ Years
25-Mar	62.5	37.4	71.9	83.3	38.2
25-Apr	62.6	36.7	72.0	83.6	38.4
25-May	62.4	36.0	71.3	83.4	38.1
25-Jun	62.3	35.1	71.0	83.5	38.0
25-Jul	62.2	35.0	71.0	83.4	38.1
25-Aug	62.3	34.8	70.3	83.7	38.1
25-Sep	62.5	36.3	71.1	83.7	38.0
25-Oct	Not reported				
25-Nov	62.5	36.3	71.8	83.8	37.9
25-Dec	62.4	36.6	71.3	83.8	37.9
26-Jan	62.1	35.4	71.3	84.0	37.3
26-Feb	62.0	35.7	71.6	83.9	37.3
26-Mar	61.9	35.7	70.5	83.8	37.2
26-Apr	61.8	35.8	70.5	83.8	37.1
26-May	61.8	35.7	70.5	83.9	37.1
26-Jun	61.5	35.4	70.6	83.3	37.1

Source: FRED, CPIC, as of 6/30/26 - Color formatting determined by range of conditions over the past decade (dark red suggests worst conditions of the past decade)

JOB GROWTH



Source: FRED, CPIC, as of 6/30/26


The consumer

After a soft stretch from September 2025 through January 2026, retail sales turned higher in February and have held firm ever since (stripping out volatile gas prices in May and June reveals a generally strong trend across other types of spending). Sales rose 7.2% year-over-year in June—the strongest pace since 2022.

Consumer spending overall has stayed resilient, growing 2.1% in real terms over the past year, as of May. Services outlays carried overall activity while goods purchases were more subdued. The household savings rate, meanwhile, has fallen to historically low levels—though it remains up for debate whether this reflects genuine strain on household finances or simply shifting demographics, as a growing retiree population naturally depresses the national savings rate.


Recent market headlines have focused on the idea of a "K-shaped" economy, in which higher-income households prosper and drive spending while lower-income households struggle with inflation and pull back (the lower leg of the "K"). Market strategist Ed Yardeni offers a competing framing—the "G-shaped" economy, where "G" stands for generational: older, wealthier Americans have benefited from substantial gains in equities and housing, while younger Americans confront unaffordable homes, student debt, and a tougher starting point. This theory seems well supported by certain data, such as the fact that first-time home buyers represent a historically low 24% of total home purchase activity, a record number of young adults still live at home with their parents, and the student loan debt crisis.

REAL PERSONAL SPENDING




Source: FRED, as of 5/31/26

RETAIL SALES



Source: FRED, as of 6/30/26

PERSONAL SAVINGS RATE



Source: FRED, as of 5/31/26


Sentiment

Consumer sentiment improved slightly during the quarter—though still at depressed levels, per the University of Michigan survey. Sentiment jumped markedly in June as gasoline prices eased, fears around the Iran conflict began to subside, and households turned more optimistic on business conditions.

The Conference Board's Consumer Confidence Index likewise continued to signal weak sentiment. Consumers' appraisal of current business and labor-market conditions deteriorated further, even as the short-term outlook for income, business, and jobs improved over the quarter. The share of respondents describing jobs as “hard to get” climbed to 22.5%—the highest since January 2021.

Small business sentiment recovered somewhat in June, moving back closer to pre-Iran war levels. Businesses began to expect better future business conditions, and sales expectations improved, though uncertainty remained elevated. “Current economic conditions present small business owners with both encouraging developments and ongoing challenges,” said NFIB Chief Economist Bill Dunkelberg. “Lower fuel costs provide welcome relief for businesses as well as consumers, with firms anticipating improved operating conditions over the next six months.”

CONSUMER SENTIMENT (UNIV. OF MICHIGAN)



Source: University of Michigan, as of 6/30/26

CONSUMER CONFIDENCE (CONFERENCE BOARD)



Source: Conference Board, as of 6/30/26

NFIB SMALL BUSINESS SENTIMENT



Source: NFIB, as of 6/30/26

Housing

Home prices rose 2.0% year-over-year in May, according to Redfin, with sales activity up 5.2% over the same period. The average 30-year fixed mortgage rate edged up from 6.2% to 6.4% during the quarter, finding little relief after inflation concerns tied to the Iran conflict drove a sharp rise in borrowing costs in March.

Housing inventory has nearly returned to pre-pandemic levels while sales activity remains depressed, leaving supply elevated relative to transactions—a dynamic matched only by the pandemic and the mid-2000s housing bubble. To date, however, this excess supply does not seem to have translated into downward pressure on prices.


Elevated prices, combined with higher mortgage costs, have led to further deterioration in affordability. One path back toward normal affordability would be an extended stretch of muted price appreciation: if wages outpace home prices consistently, the monthly mortgage burden gradually eases relative to incomes. Lower mortgage rates could also help, though markets do not anticipate a return to the ultra-low-rate regime of the 2010s—suggesting rates may not fall materially from current levels.

30-YEAR MORTGAGE RATE (%)




Source: Freddie Mac, as of 6/30/26

HOUSING SALES VS. INVENTORY



Source: FRED, as of 5/31/26

U.S. NATIONAL HOME PRICE INDEX



Source: S&P Cotality Case-Shiller National Home Price Index, CPIC, as of 5/31/26

International economics summary

- › Non-U.S. developed economies showed very weak, but positive, economic growth. Modest improvements in growth are expected, supported by fiscal stimulus, investment in local infrastructure and defense spending, and some lingering effects of recent monetary easing (although the ECB reversed and hiked rates in June). Eurozone GDP growth slowed to 0.3% year-over-year in Q1, while Japan posted a mild 0.4% rate. Shipping disruptions from the U.S.–Iran war, along with rising energy prices, have pushed inflation to concerning levels in many places.
- › The Strait of Hormuz remains unstable with very minimal shipping traffic. Early in July there appeared to be hope for normalization, but a reigniting of military conflict between Iran and the U.S., and seemingly a falling apart of the Memorandum of Understanding, sent energy prices upward as shipping traffic slowed. It is likely that extended closure of the Strait could translate to imminent crisis in certain regions.
- › Higher inflation appears to be prompting a reversal in policy at some central banks, notably the ECB, which raised rates during Q2—a marked shift from a series of cuts delivered since early 2024. The combination of sluggish growth and elevated inflation makes for a distinctly more difficult policy backdrop.
- › Following the February 2026 Supreme Court decision that invalidated previous tariffs under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), the U.S. government owes importers an estimated \$166 billion in refunds. In June, the U.S. sent \$49 billion in tariff refunds, which means refunds issued were two times larger than import duties collected. The legal process around refunds remains cloudy.
- › For the first time in nearly three years, the ECB hiked rates in June from 2% to 2.25%, pointing to the rise of inflation. Markets expect a ~50% chance of another hike by September. Meanwhile, the BOE held rates steady at 3.75% in June, though the vote was split 7-2, with two members wanting to hike to 4.0%. The BOJ hiked rates in June to 1.0% with a decisive 7-1 vote. Central banks are watching energy prices closely.
- › Russia and Ukraine remain locked in a costly stalemate—intensified strikes but little territorial change. The U.S.-brokered peace process has stalled, with the “spirit of Anchorage” from the 2025 Trump–Putin summit having collapsed. Casualties are immense and war-weariness runs high; fighting continues to escalate even as diplomacy yields no breakthrough, most notably with Ukraine landing large-scale drone strikes on Moscow.

	GDP (Real, YoY)	Inflation (CPI, YoY)	Unemployment
United States	2.1% 6/30/2026	3.5% 6/30/2026	4.2% 6/30/2026
Eurozone	1.0% 6/30/2026	2.8% 6/30/2026	6.3% 6/30/2026
Japan	0.4% 3/31/2026	1.7% 6/30/2026	2.6% 5/31/2026
Canada	1.1% 4/30/2026	2.8% 6/30/2026	6.5% 6/30/2026
BRICS Nations	4.6% 3/31/2026	2.2% 6/30/2026	4.8% 6/30/2026
Brazil	1.8% 3/31/2026	4.6% 6/30/2026	5.4% 6/30/2026
Russia	-0.2% 3/31/2026	6.0% 6/30/2026	2.2% 6/30/2026
India	7.8% 3/31/2026	4.4% 6/30/2026	8.5% 12/31/2017
China	4.3% 6/30/2026	1.0% 6/30/2026	5.0% 6/30/2026

Source: Bloomberg

NOTE: India lacks reliable government unemployment data. Unemployment rate shown above is estimated from the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy. The Chinese unemployment rate represents the monthly surveyed urban unemployment rate in China.

International economics


Non-U.S. developed economies are showing very weak, but positive, economic growth. Modest improvements are expected, supported by fiscal stimulus, investment in local infrastructure and defense spending, and some lingering effects of recent monetary easing (although the ECB reversed and hiked rates in June). Eurozone GDP growth slowed to 0.3% year-over-year in Q1, as Europe continues to face stagnant growth, a lack of innovation and business formation (arguably due to overregulation), as well as strong competition from China on industrial goods and autos, for example.

Japan grew at a mild 0.4% rate year-over-year during Q2, and could see economic acceleration as the Takaichi administration focuses on

strategic investment, looser fiscal policy, and a generally market-friendly stance.


Following the February 2026 Supreme Court decision that invalidated previous tariffs under the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), the U.S. government owes importers an estimated \$166 billion in refunds. In June the U.S. sent \$49 billion in tariff refunds, which means refunds issued were two times larger than import duties collected. The legal process around refunds remains cloudy.

INFLATION (CPI YEAR-OVER-YEAR)




Source: Bloomberg, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

REAL GDP GROWTH (YEAR-OVER-YEAR)



Source: Bloomberg, CPIC, as of 3/31/26

GDP GROWTH EXPECTATIONS



Source: Bloomberg, CPIC, as of 7/22/26 or most recent date

Fixed income rates & credit

Fixed income environment

- › Kevin Warsh was sworn in as Fed Chairman on May 22nd in a historically divided 54–45 Senate vote. He takes the helm amid an energy shock from the Iran conflict, surging inflation, and historically depressed consumer sentiment. A central question is whether Warsh proves more dovish—consistent with his rhetoric ahead of nomination—or more hawkish, given his hardline inflation views and long-standing preference for shrinking the Fed's balance sheet and narrowing its role. Markets currently expect rate hikes in 2026. The FOMC held rates steady in July.
- › The 10-year U.S. Treasury yield drifted higher over the quarter, from 4.32% to 4.47%. Inflation concerns tied to the Iran war and the prospect of a more hawkish Fed have likely helped keep yields elevated.
- › High yield bonds gained +3.1% in Q2, while bank loans were up +1.9%. The persistent laggard in both asset classes has been Technology, which was the only negative returning sector year-to-date in bank loans (-4.2%), as software-specific fears remained a dominant market theme.
- › Market inflation expectations fell materially following the U.S.–Iran ceasefire and the rising likelihood that

traffic through the Strait of Hormuz would at least partially resume. The TIPS 2-year Breakeven Inflation Rate fell from 3.3% to 2.0%. The TIPS 5-year breakeven fell from a high of 2.7% in early May to near 2.2% by the final week of June.

- › Concerns around private credit persisted through Q2, now centered largely on investor redemption requests, which remained substantial and above the standard 5% quarterly limit imposed by many funds. In several cases, redemptions have come disproportionately from a small subset of investors—suggesting greater underlying stability than the headlines imply.
- › The par-weighted high yield bond default rate including distressed exchanges (2.7%) rose above the loan default rate (2.3%) for the first time since December 2022, representing a reversal of the multi-year pattern in which loans consistently defaulted at a higher rate than bonds. On a year-over-year basis, first-half 2026 HY bond issuance of \$186.9 billion is running ahead of the \$144.6 billion issued one year prior, while loan issuance of \$438 billion YTD is roughly flat.


	QTD Total Return	1 Year Total Return
Core Fixed Income (Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate)	0.7%	3.8%
Core Plus Fixed Income (Bloomberg U.S. Universal)	1.1%	4.2%
U.S. Treasuries (Bloomberg U.S. Treasury)	0.4%	2.7%
U.S. Treasuries: Long (Bloomberg U.S. Treasury 20+)	0.8%	2.5%
U.S. High Yield (Bloomberg U.S. Corporate HY)	3.1%	5.9%
Bank Loans (Morningstar LSTA Leveraged Loan)	1.9%	4.4%
Emerging Market Debt Local (JPM GBI-EM Global Diversified)	4.2%	7.8%
Emerging Market Debt Hard (JPM EMBI Global Diversified)	5.0%	11.8%
Mortgage-Backed Securities (Bloomberg MBS)	0.9%	5.2%

Source: Standard & Poor's, J.P. Morgan, Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

Markets are pricing in a hawkish Fed

After a surprisingly soft June inflation report, markets expect one or more hikes later in 2026, then stabilization

EXPECTED PATH OF FED FUNDS RATE



Source: CPIC, Bloomberg, estimate as of 7/15/26

Market rate expectations

Probabilities suggest a rate hike - maybe multiple


EXPECTED FED FUNDS RATE AT DECEMBER 9TH 2026 FED MEETING




Source: CME Watch, Cerity Partners Institutional Consulting, as of 7/23/26

Yield environment


U.S. YIELD CURVE




GLOBAL GOVERNMENT YIELD CURVES



YIELD CURVE CHANGES OVER LAST FIVE YEARS



IMPLIED CHANGES OVER NEXT YEAR



Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

Credit environment


High yield bonds gained +3.1% in Q2 (BBG U.S. Corporate High Yield), a reversal from -0.5% in Q1, while bank loans were up +1.9% relative to -0.6% in Q1 (Morningstar LSTA Leveraged Loan Index), lifting the year-to-date gain for loans to +1.5% (+2.5% ex-Software). The persistent laggard in both asset classes has been Technology, which was the only negative returning sector year-to-date in bank loans (-4.2%), as software-specific fears remained a dominant market theme. Within high yield, higher quality outperformed lower quality.

High yield bond spreads tightened -50 bps in Q2, from 320 bps at the beginning of April to 270 bps at the end of June, as easing of U.S.-Iran tensions and a temporary ceasefire likely drove the bulk of the move in April before spreads stabilized in May and June. Bank loan spreads

tightened a more modest -12 bps, from 472 bps to 460 bps. Spreads compressed through April and May before widening to a new high in June on renewed software weakness and softer technicals.


High yield spreads went from -150 bps less than bank loans in April to -190 bps in June. This level continues to be well below the post-Global Financial Crisis average of ~+25bps, while the gap between high yield bonds and bank loans reached a 2-year high (7.4% high yield bond yield vs. 8.9% bank loan yield). Both of those trends suggest a changing relationship between the two asset classes, though each still provides an ample premium over investment grade credit (which offered a 5.2% yield as of June 30th).

SPREADS



Source: Barclays, Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

YIELD TO MATURITY



Source: Morningstar, as of 6/30/26

CREDIT SPREAD (OAS)

Market	6/30/2026	6/30/2025
Long U.S. Corp	0.9%	1.0%
U.S. Inv Grade Corp	0.7%	0.8%
U.S. High Yield	2.7%	2.9%
U.S. Bank Loans*	4.6%	4.4%

Source: Barclays, Credit Suisse, Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26
*Discount margin (4-year life)

Default & issuance

Default and distressed activity in Q2 continued to slow, with April and May being unusually quiet while June saw a significant uptick in activity due to a single event. High yield bonds made up most default activity, though high yield and bank loans were fairly evenly split across distressed activity, a reversal of a loan-heavy Q1.


April recorded one default and distressed exchange in high yield bonds. No default or distressed activity occurred in bank loans during April, for the first time since March 2021. June saw a surge in default activity to \$13.1 billion across six borrowers, the largest monthly total since December 2024, driven almost entirely by Dish DBS's \$9.75 billion Chapter 11 filing, the second-largest post-pandemic default behind Envision Healthcare in 2023. The total activity of Q2 was \$12.2 billion in defaults (\$11.95 billion in bonds and \$267 million in loans) and \$4.9

billion in distressed exchanges (\$2.8 billion bonds, \$2.1 billion loans).

That single event pushed the par-weighted high yield bond default rate including distressed exchanges (2.7%) above the loan default rate (2.3%) for the first time since 2022, representing a reversal of the multi-year pattern in which loans had consistently defaulted at a higher rate than bonds. However, this change was mostly driven by a single borrower default, which to us does not suggest a meaningful change in the environment.


High yield bond issuance totaled \$106 billion during Q2, lifting year-to-date volume to \$186.9 billion versus \$144.6 billion one year ago, while bank loan issuance totaled roughly \$214.6 billion over the quarter, pushing year-to-date volume to \$438 billion—roughly flat year-over-year.

PAST 12 MONTHS (PAR DEFAULT RATE)




Source: BofA Global Research, ICE, LCD /Pitchbook, as of 6/30/26

U.S. HIGH YIELD: DEFAULTS + LME (PAST YEAR)



Source: BofA Merrill Lynch, as of 6/30/26 – par weighted. “LME” stands for liability management exercise, which is effectively a renegotiation of debt terms but without an official default

DEVELOPED MARKET USD ISSUANCE



Source: BofA Merrill Lynch, as of 6/30/26

Equity

Equity environment

- Emerging market equity outperformed in Q2, up +24.1%. The U.S. market returned +15.2%, while international developed markets lagged but still posted a solid +10.8%. Emerging market strength continues to be driven by AI semiconductor and software names across Asia—TSMC, SK Hynix, and Samsung among them.
- Small caps again outpaced large caps (FTSE Russell 2000 +21.5% vs. S&P 500 +15.2%), extending a one-year run in which small caps led by +18.5%. Small caps nonetheless remain well behind on a 3- and 5-year trailing basis.
- Growth led Value in Q2 (FTSE Russell 1000 Growth +16.7% vs. Value +13.9%), though Value retains a +9.8% edge over the past year. Sector effects drove much of the style dispersion, underscored by a standout quarter for Information Technology (+31.8%).
- Chinese equity underperformance accelerated in Q2. MSCI China has fallen -4.8% over the past year, against +63.1% for MSCI EM ex-China, and trailed the broader emerging markets index by -41% during the quarter.
- Market-priced volatility stayed relatively subdued in Q2 despite the range of risks posed by the Iran conflict. Headline U.S. inflation pushed above 4%, leading markets to price future Fed rate *hikes* rather than the cuts anticipated earlier in the year. U.S. and Iranian leaders signed the Islamabad Memorandum—an interim agreement establishing a 60-day window to negotiate a permanent peace treaty. The VIX ended the quarter at 16.5.
- SpaceX went public on June 12th with the company valued at a historic \$1.77 trillion—triple the size of the prior largest IPO. The offering was structured unusually in that 30% of shares were allocated to retail investors (single digit allocations are more common). The stock was priced at \$135/share, opened trading at \$150 and roared above \$210 before falling below \$120 by mid-July with substantial short-seller positioning.

	QTD TOTAL RETURN		1 YEAR TOTAL RETURN	
	(unhedged)	(hedged)	(unhedged)	(hedged)
U.S. Large Cap (S&P 500)	15.2%		22.3%	
U.S. Small Cap (Russell 2000)	21.5%		40.8%	
U.S. Equity (Russell 3000)	15.4%		22.8%	
U.S. Large Value (Russell 1000 Value)	13.9%		27.1%	
U.S. Large Growth (Russell 1000 Growth)	16.7%		17.7%	
Global Equity (MSCI ACWI)	14.9%	15.3%	23.7%	26.3%
International Large (MSCI EAFE)	10.8%	12.1%	20.2%	27.9%
Eurozone (EURO STOXX 50)	14.3%	15.7%	18.8%	24.7%
U.K. (FTSE 100)	4.7%	4.1%	19.7%	23.6%
Japan (TOPIX)	11.8%	15.3%	27.0%	48.0%
Canada (S&P/TSX)	6.3%	8.6%	25.7%	33.1%
Emerging Markets (MSCI Emerging Markets)	24.1%	24.3%	43.5%	51.6%

Source: Standard & Poor's, FTSE, MSCI, STOXX, JPX, as of 6/30/26 – performance quoted from perspective of U.S. dollar investor

Domestic equity

U.S. equities gained +15.2% in the quarter (S&P 500), trailing emerging markets but outpacing non-U.S. developed markets.

Beneath the calm surface of the index lay several notable rotations. First, the Magnificent 7 lagged the broader S&P 500 by roughly -10% year-to-date, with those seven names collectively flat at 0%. Second, the “HALO” stocks—Heavy on Assets and at Low risk of Obsolescence—cooled in Q2 after a strong Q1 rally. Finally, investors began to favor “picks and shovels” AI infrastructure names that supply chips and memory.

Year-over-year Q2 S&P 500 earnings growth is expected to reach +23.3% (FactSet, as of July 2nd). Full-year 2026 corporate earnings are projected to grow a remarkable +24.1%. Tempting as it is to credit AI alone, the gains look more broad-based: Energy earnings are expected to grow +66.2% in 2026, Materials +39.7%, Communication Services +27.1%, and Consumer Discretionary +14.0%. Equity concentration remains a topic on many investors' minds, though the domestic market has exhibited some broadening out in 2026.


- › U.S. equities delivered an impressive +15.2% return in Q2 on rapid profit growth and AI buildout
- › Small caps fared even better, up +21.5%

S&P 500 PRICE INDEX




Source: Standard & Poor's, as of 6/30/26

Q2 SECTOR PERFORMANCE



Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

S&P 500 EARNINGS FORECASTS (YOY)




Source: Factset, CPIC, as of 7/2/26

Analysts expect the good times to keep rolling

Four straight quarters of 20%+ YoY earnings growth are now expected for 2026

S&P 500: EARNINGS GROWTH YEAR-OVER-YEAR



Source: CPIC, FactSet estimates as of 7/7/26

SpaceX initial public offering...

...has not gone to the moon



Source: Bloomberg

- › SpaceX went public on June 12th with the company valued at a historic \$1.77 trillion—triple the size of the prior largest IPO. The offering was structured unusually in that 30% of shares were allocated to retail investors (single-digit allocations are more common).
- › The stock was priced at \$135/share, opened trading at \$150 and roared above \$210 before falling below \$120 by mid-July. Reportedly around 185 million shares (29% of the tradeable shares) were being sold short mid-month.
- › Other upcoming mega-IPOs include Anthropic and OpenAI.

Domestic equity size & style


In Q2, small caps again outperformed large caps (FTSE Russell 2000 +21.5% vs. S&P 500 +15.2%), extending a one-year run of +18.5% relative outperformance. Even so, small caps remain materially behind on a 3- and 5-year trailing basis.

Growth led Value in Q2 (FTSE Russell 1000 Growth +16.7% vs. Value +13.9%), though Value holds a +9.8% edge over the past year. Sector composition drove much of the style dispersion: Information Technology, which skews heavily Growth, surged +31.8%, while Value-oriented sectors such as Energy, Utilities, and Materials lagged.

U.S. market concentration remains a popular talking point. Concentration has risen sharply over the past decade, back to levels last seen in the 1960s. What the coverage often omits is that such concentration is not unusual—it characterized much of the 20th century, frequently rising during periods of rapid technological change, and today's level of concentration is fairly average relative to other regional markets. None of this disputes that a smaller set of companies may exert an outsized influence on portfolio outcomes going forward (*which could be positive or negative, depending on whether those companies continue to display strong performance*).


› Small caps and Value have displayed a rebound over the past year, but still lag considerably on a 3- and 5-year trailing basis

VALUE VS. GROWTH 1-YR ROLLING RELATIVE PERFORMANCE




Source: FTSE, as of 6/30/26

SMALL VS. LARGE 1-YR ROLLING RELATIVE PERFORMANCE



Source: FTSE Russell, as of 6/30/26

1-YEAR SIZE & STYLE PERFORMANCE



Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

International developed equity

International developed equity delivered strong Q2 returns (MSCI EAFE +10.8%) but trailed both emerging markets (MSCI EM +24.1%) and U.S. equities (S&P 500 +15.2%). Developed market equity has once again fallen behind the performance of the United States (on a YTD, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year basis), despite many predictions that shifting U.S. trade policy would lead to a “Sell America” trend and that domestic equities would be left behind the rest of the world.

The fundamental problems of Europe continue to exist—namely, a lack of entrepreneurialism and business formation, heavy


regulation, and fierce competition from China on industrial goods and autos, for example. More recently, the lack of AI innovation in Europe could pose a particularly acute risk of falling further behind the United States. In developed markets, Japan has stood out with robust performance as the Takaichi administration rolls out an agenda of strategic economic investment, a looser fiscal stance, while showing a broadly pro-market stance. Significant declines in the value of the Japanese yen have meant a -21% performance difference over the past year for investors without a currency hedging program in place.

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPED EQUITY




Source: MSCI, as of 6/30/26

CUMULATIVE PERFORMANCE (10 YEARS)



Source: CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Q2 REGIONAL RETURNS



Source: MSCI, as of 6/30/26

Emerging market equity

Emerging market equities outpaced developed markets by an impressive margin in Q1 (MSCI EM +24.1%) though performance was bifurcated, with Asia gaining +30.2% (MSCI EM Asia) and Latin America falling -3.6% (MSCI EM Latin America). AI is a powerful theme driving overall emerging market behavior: for example, Korean semiconductor business SK Hynix rose roughly 280% in price year-to-date, and at quarter end was 7.7% of the total MSCI EM Index, single-handedly driving a large portion of index gains (MSCI South Korea gained +87.6% during the past quarter). While Asia is substantially weighted in tech, seeing massive AI tailwinds, the Latin America region is highly concentrated in Materials and Energy stocks which performed less well in Q2.

Chinese equity underperformance accelerated in Q2. MSCI China has declined -4.8% over the past year, relative to a +63.1% gain from MSCI EM ex-China, trailing the broader emerging markets index by -41% during just the past quarter. The country continues to grapple with real estate overinvestment and broad-based price deterioration, weak consumer spending, and headwinds from a lack of foreign direct investment. However, China's incredible success in AI innovation and other technology such as electric vehicles has demonstrated that the country can continue to push forward economically despite its problems.


› EM delivered exceptional performance during Q2, though performance disparity across regions was extreme

EMERGING MARKET EQUITY



Source: MSCI, as of 6/30/26

MSCI EM 2026 Q2 COUNTRY RETURNS (USD)



Source: Bloomberg, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

CHINA PAIN




Source: MSCI, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Equity valuations

Equity forward P/E multiples across many regions have moved lower in 2026 as earnings growth outpaced price appreciation. Put differently, despite broad enthusiasm for AI and concerns over rising concentration, equities continue to offer a fundamentals-driven rally rather than a valuation-led one. While much of the earnings growth has been concentrated in Information Technology (+54.8% YoY in Q1), notable growth is also evident in Communication Services (+48.9%), Materials (+42.5%), and Consumer Discretionary (+40.8%). Full-year 2026 earnings are expected to grow +24.1% on +10.8% revenue growth (*all performance data quoted from FactSet, as of July 2nd*).


U.S. equity market concentration has been a popular topic of late—specifically, concerns that a handful of mega-cap stocks now make up outsized portions of domestic indexes. *Could these incredibly large companies crash and bring down the overall index?* Interestingly, the valuations of the Magnificent 7 have been falling as their earnings growth far outstrips their price appreciation. The Mag 7's forward price-to-earnings ratio is now only moderately elevated relative to the rest of the index (23.7x versus 19.1x, as of July 7th, per Yardeni Research). This convergence between high-growth valuations and the broader market is also evident in hyperscaler valuations.

HYPERSCALER FORWARD P/E VS. INDEX




Source: CPIC, FactSet, as of 6/30/26

FORWARD P/E



Source: MSCI, Canada shown as S&P/TSX, as of 6/30/26

TRAILING P/E



Source: MSCI, Canada shown as S&P/TSX, as of 6/30/26

International developed equity

International developed equity delivered strong Q2 returns (MSCI EAFE +10.8%) but trailed both emerging markets (MSCI EM +24.1%) and U.S. equities (S&P 500 +15.2%). Developed market equity has once again fallen behind the performance of the United States (on a YTD, 1-year, 2-year, 3-year, 5-year, and 10-year basis), despite many predictions that shifting U.S. trade policy would lead to a “Sell America” trend and that domestic equities would be left behind the rest of the world.

The fundamental problems of Europe continue to exist—namely, a lack of entrepreneurialism and business formation, heavy


regulation, and fierce competition from China on industrial goods and autos, for example. More recently, the lack of AI innovation in Europe could pose a particularly acute risk of falling further behind the United States. In developed markets, Japan has stood out with robust performance as the Takaichi administration rolls out an agenda of strategic economic investment, a looser fiscal stance, while showing a broadly pro-market stance. Significant declines in the value of the Japanese yen has meant a -21% performance difference over the past year for investors without a currency hedging program in place.

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPED EQUITY




Source: MSCI, as of 6/30/26

CUMULATIVE PERFORMANCE (10 YEARS)



Source: CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Q2 REGIONAL RETURNS



Source: MSCI, as of 6/30/26


Market volatility

Market-priced volatility (Cboe VIX Index) stayed relatively subdued in Q2 despite the range of risks posed by the Iran conflict. Headline U.S. inflation pushed well above 4%—double the Federal Reserve's 2% target—leading markets to price future Fed rate *hikes* rather than the cuts anticipated earlier in the year. U.S. and Iranian leaders signed the Islamabad Memorandum, an interim agreement establishing a 60-day window to negotiate a permanent peace treaty. The VIX ended the quarter at 16.5.

The U.S.–Iran conflict caused a historically significant disruption to energy supplies through the Strait of Hormuz, yet produced surprisingly little equity market volatility and less upward pressure on


energy prices than many expected. We see a few central reasons. First, major economies such as China drew on their own deep energy reserves during the crisis, easing global supply pressure. Second, some Gulf producers exported via alternate routes—such as Saudi Arabia's East-West pipeline—bypassing the Strait entirely. Third, the global energy market entered the conflict in surplus rather than scarcity. It is also worth noting that global reliance on fossil fuels is far lower than in past energy crises, muting both the economic impact and the regional inflationary effect, as households now spend less of their budgets on fuel.

U.S. IMPLIED VOLATILITY (VIX)




Source: Cboe, as of 6/30/26

REALIZED VOLATILITY




Source: Standard & Poor's, MSCI, Verus, as of 6/30/26

GEOPOLITICAL RISK INDEX



Source: Caldara & Iacoviello GPR Index is based on the number of articles relating to adverse geopolitical events in each newspaper for each month as a share of total news stories

Long-term equity performance



Source: Standard & Poor's, FTSE, MSCI, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Other assets


Currency

The U.S. dollar appreciated 1% in Q2 (DXY), holding within a stable range after a +10% rally in Q4 2025 and a subsequent retracement in Q1 2026 back to prior levels. Currency moves had a mild effect on unhedged U.S. investors during the quarter: those with international developed equity exposure (MSCI EAFE) gave up -1.3% to currency in Q2, and a larger -7.5% over the past year. The yen lost -2.3% during the quarter relative to the U.S. dollar, while the euro lost -1.1%, and the British pound was up +0.3%.

A more deliberate approach to currency exposure has delivered both lower volatility and higher long-term returns—a rare combination. It reduces the uncompensated risk of unhedged

foreign currency and, in its place, makes a passive allocation to the currency market by favoring higher-yielding, undervalued, and positively trending currencies. Represented by the MSCI Currency Factor Mix Index, this approach has generated positive one-year rolling returns across most periods with far lower volatility than an unhedged stance. The benefit was on display over the past year: an unhedged U.S. investor in international developed equities (MSCI EAFE) lost -5.8% to currency amid considerable volatility, while the MSCI Currency Factor Mix Index gained +1.6%.

EFFECT OF CURRENCY (1-YEAR ROLLING)




Source: MSCI, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

U.S. DOLLAR MAJOR CURRENCY INDEX



Source: ICE, as of 6/30/26

EMBEDDED CURRENCY VS CURRENCY FACTORS



Source: MSCI, CPIC, as of 6/30/26

Hedge funds

Hedge funds have enjoyed tremendous performance over the last three years with trailing 36 month returns the highest since 2006-2007 for the overall universe (HFRI Composite +10.5% annualized), and equity-focused funds (HFRI Equity Hedge +14.9% annualized), which typically dominate the composites.


Equity hedge funds have taken advantage of several market dynamics that work in their favor, allowing the more unconstrained funds to find greater opportunities for gains. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following: concentrated bets in the AI/semiconductor space (especially in memory-related stocks in the U.S. and Korea), and exponential growth in some of the largest and highest-profile private

companies that are widely held across equity hedge funds, including Anthropic, xAI, OpenAI, and SpaceX, among others. Additionally, many of the largest, growth-oriented hedge funds have been very active in the private markets since the pandemic.

Corporate activity has picked up in recent years, with IPO and spin-off activity both increasing and being rewarded by the market.


Increasing dispersion within equity markets, as Magnificent 7 leadership has faltered, capital has flowed into opportunities down-market. Hedge fund portfolios are typically skewed heavily towards small/mid/large-cap compared to the mega-cap heavy indexes.

ROLLING 3-YEAR ANNUALIZED RETURNS



Source: MPI, HFR

EQUITY DISPERSION AND IPO/SPIN-OFF INDEXES SINCE 2023



Source: MPI, S&P Global

Appendix


Periodic table of returns

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	YTD	5-Year	10-Year	
BEST ↑	Emerging Markets Equity	32.1%	39.4%	5.2%	78.5%	29.1%	11.8%	18.2%	43.3%	13.5%	13.5%	31.7%	37.3%	6.7%	36.4%	38.5%	28.3%	16.1%	42.7%	33.4%	33.6%	23.8%	13.7%	18.6%
	Small Cap Value	26.3%	16.2%	1.8%	37.2%	26.9%	7.8%	18.1%	38.8%	13.2%	5.7%	21.3%	30.2%	1.8%	31.4%	34.6%	27.6%	9.4%	26.5%	24.5%	31.2%	23.0%	12.7%	15.3%
	Small Cap Equity	23.5%	15.8%	-6.5%	34.5%	24.5%	2.6%	17.5%	34.5%	13.0%	0.9%	17.3%	25.0%	0.0%	28.5%	21.0%	27.1%	1.5%	18.7%	15.2%	18.6%	22.6%	11.2%	12.0%
	Small Cap Growth	22.2%	11.8%	-21.4%	31.8%	18.9%	1.5%	17.3%	33.5%	12.0%	0.5%	12.1%	22.2%	-1.5%	26.5%	20.0%	26.5%	-5.6%	18.2%	14.4%	17.4%	22.2%	9.4%	11.6%
	Large Cap Value	18.4%	11.2%	-23.4%	28.4%	16.8%	0.4%	16.4%	33.1%	6.0%	0.0%	11.8%	21.7%	-4.0%	25.5%	18.3%	25.2%	-7.5%	16.9%	11.5%	16.7%	16.3%	9.0%	11.5%
	Commodities	15.5%	10.8%	-28.9%	27.2%	16.7%	0.1%	16.4%	32.5%	5.6%	-0.3%	11.3%	17.3%	-4.8%	22.4%	13.4%	17.4%	-13.0%	15.6%	9.8%	15.9%	14.4%	8.2%	10.9%
	Large Cap Equity	15.2%	10.3%	-33.8%	23.5%	16.1%	-2.2%	15.3%	22.8%	4.9%	-0.8%	11.2%	14.6%	-6.1%	22.0%	10.9%	14.8%	-14.5%	14.6%	9.2%	15.8%	10.3%	7.2%	10.1%
	International Equity	13.3%	7.0%	-35.6%	20.6%	15.5%	-2.9%	14.6%	12.6%	4.2%	-1.4%	7.1%	13.7%	-8.3%	18.7%	7.8%	11.3%	-14.5%	11.5%	8.1%	13.0%	9.4%	7.0%	9.7%
	Hedge Funds of Funds	11.6%	7.0%	-36.8%	19.7%	13.1%	-4.2%	11.4%	11.1%	3.4%	-2.7%	6.2%	7.8%	-9.3%	18.4%	7.5%	9.2%	-17.5%	9.8%	7.5%	12.8%	7.3%	6.0%	7.8%
	60/40 Global Portfolio	9.1%	5.8%	-37.6%	18.9%	9.8%	-5.2%	10.8%	9.0%	2.7%	-3.8%	5.6%	6.8%	-11.0%	8.7%	4.6%	6.2%	-19.1%	5.5%	5.4%	12.6%	6.7%	5.6%	5.9%
	Large Cap Growth	8.5%	4.8%	-38.4%	11.5%	7.8%	-5.5%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	-4.4%	2.6%	5.2%	-11.2%	8.4%	2.8%	2.8%	-20.1%	5.1%	5.3%	10.5%	5.3%	5.6%	5.8%
	Cash	4.8%	-0.2%	-38.5%	5.9%	6.5%	-12.1%	4.2%	-2.0%	-2.2%	-7.5%	1.0%	3.5%	-12.9%	7.7%	1.8%	0.0%	-20.4%	3.9%	3.8%	7.3%	1.8%	3.6%	4.6%
	Real Estate	4.3%	-1.6%	-43.4%	0.1%	5.7%	-13.3%	0.1%	-2.6%	-4.9%	-14.9%	0.3%	1.7%	-13.8%	6.4%	0.5%	-1.5%	-26.4%	-4.8%	1.3%	5.0%	1.2%	3.1%	2.3%
	US Bonds	2.1%	-9.8%	-53.3%	-16.8%	0.1%	-18.4%	-1.1%	-9.5%	-17.0%	-24.7%	-0.4%	0.8%	-14.6%	2.2%	-3.1%	-2.5%	-29.1%	-7.9%	0.6%	4.3%	0.6%	0.1%	1.5%
WORST ↓																								


Source Data: Bloomberg, Hedge Fund Research, Inc. (HFR), National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries (NCREIF). Indices used: Russell 1000, Russell 1000 Value, Russell 1000 Growth, Russell 2000, Russell 2000 Value, Russell 2000 Growth, MSCI EAFE, MSCI EM, Bloomberg US Aggregate, 90-Day T-Bills, Bloomberg Commodity, NCREIF Property, HFRI FOF, MSCI ACWI, Bloomberg Global Bond. NCREIF Property Index performance data as of 6/30/26.

Major asset class returns

ONE YEAR ENDING JUNE



TEN YEARS ENDING JUNE




*Only publicly traded asset performance is shown here. Performance of private assets is typically released with a 3- to 6-month delay.

Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26

Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26


S&P 500 sector returns

QTD



Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26


ONE YEAR ENDING JUNE



Source: Bloomberg, as of 6/30/26


Private equity vs. traditional assets performance

DIRECT PRIVATE EQUITY AND CREDIT FUND INVESTMENTS



› Direct P.E. Fund Investments underperformed public equities in most time periods.

“PASSIVE” STRATEGIES




› “Passive” strategies have underperformed public equities in most time periods.

Sources: FTSE PME: U.S. Direct Private Equity and “Passive” returns are as of December 31, 2025. Public Market Equivalent returns resulted from “Total Passive” and Total Direct’s identical cash flows invested into and distributed from respective traditional asset comparable.


Private vs. liquid real assets performance

GLOBAL NATURAL RESOURCES FUNDS



› N.R. funds underperformed MSCI World Natural Resources across most time periods.

GLOBAL INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS




› Infra. funds outperformed S&P Infra. across longer periods.

Sources: FTSE PME: Global Natural Resources (vintage 1999 and later, inception of MSCI World Natural Resources benchmark) and Global Infrastructure (vintage 2002 and later, inception of S&P Infrastructure benchmark) universes as of December 31st, 2025. Public Market Equivalent returns resulted from identical cash flows invested into and distributed from respective liquid real assets universes.


Private vs. liquid and core real estate performance

U.S. PRIVATE REAL ESTATE FUNDS VS. LIQUID UNIVERSE



› U.S. Private R.E. funds underperformed the Wilshire U.S. REIT across most time periods.

U.S. PRIVATE REAL ESTATE FUNDS VS. CORE FUNDS



› U.S. Private R.E. Funds outperformed the NCREIF Property Index across most time periods.

Sources: FTSE PME: U.S. Real Estate universes as of December 31st, 2025. Public Market Equivalent returns resulted from identical cash flows invested into and distributed from respective liquid real estate universes.

Detailed index performance

DOMESTIC EQUITY	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Index							
S&P 500	-1.0%	15.2%	10.2%	22.3%	20.6%	13.4%	15.5%
S&P 500 Equal Weighted	2.4%	11.4%	12.1%	19.2%	14.5%	9.1%	12.4%
DJ Industrial Average	2.7%	13.4%	9.8%	20.6%	17.1%	10.8%	13.7%
Russell Top 200	-1.4%	15.5%	9.1%	22.1%	21.7%	14.0%	16.4%
Russell 1000	-0.5%	15.1%	10.3%	22.0%	20.4%	12.7%	15.3%
Russell 2000	3.7%	21.5%	22.6%	40.8%	18.6%	7.0%	11.6%
Russell 3000	-0.3%	15.4%	10.9%	22.8%	20.3%	12.3%	15.1%
Russell Mid Cap	3.1%	13.8%	15.3%	21.6%	16.5%	8.5%	12.0%
Style Index							
Russell 1000 Growth	-2.7%	16.7%	5.3%	17.7%	22.6%	13.7%	18.6%
Russell 1000 Value	2.3%	13.9%	16.3%	27.1%	17.8%	11.2%	11.5%
Russell 2000 Growth	3.6%	25.7%	22.2%	38.7%	18.4%	5.6%	12.0%
Russell 2000 Value	4.0%	17.2%	23.0%	43.0%	18.7%	8.2%	10.9%

INTERNATIONAL EQUITY	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Index							
MSCI ACWI	-0.8%	14.9%	11.2%	23.7%	19.7%	11.0%	12.8%
MSCI ACWI ex US	-0.6%	14.5%	13.7%	27.7%	18.8%	8.8%	9.9%
MSCI EAFE	0.1%	10.8%	9.4%	20.2%	16.4%	9.0%	9.7%
MSCI EM	-1.4%	24.1%	23.8%	43.5%	23.0%	7.2%	10.1%
MSCI EAFE Small Cap	-3.7%	9.0%	7.6%	17.4%	15.7%	5.3%	8.6%
Style Index							
MSCI EAFE Growth	0.8%	14.5%	9.1%	13.7%	11.5%	4.9%	8.6%
MSCI EAFE Value	-0.7%	7.5%	9.6%	27.0%	21.5%	13.1%	10.4%
Regional Index							
MSCI UK	-0.8%	4.1%	6.2%	20.3%	17.5%	12.0%	8.8%
MSCI Japan	-0.3%	14.2%	15.8%	29.1%	18.5%	9.5%	9.8%
MSCI Euro	2.5%	15.3%	9.6%	20.5%	17.4%	10.4%	10.9%
MSCI EM Asia	-1.1%	30.2%	28.2%	48.7%	25.2%	7.6%	11.4%
MSCI EM Latin America	-2.4%	-3.6%	10.5%	31.7%	12.1%	9.0%	7.4%

FIXED INCOME	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Index							
Bloomberg US TIPS	-0.5%	0.9%	1.2%	3.4%	4.0%	1.0%	2.6%
Bloomberg US Treasury Bills	0.3%	0.9%	1.8%	4.0%	4.7%	3.5%	2.4%
Bloomberg US Agg Bond	0.2%	0.7%	0.6%	3.8%	4.2%	0.1%	1.5%
Bloomberg US Universal	0.3%	0.9%	0.8%	4.2%	4.7%	0.4%	1.9%
Duration							
Bloomberg US Treasury 1-3 Yr	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%	2.9%	4.4%	1.9%	1.8%
Bloomberg US Treasury 20+ Yr	1.2%	0.9%	0.6%	2.5%	-1.5%	-6.6%	-1.8%
Bloomberg US Treasury	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	2.7%	3.2%	-0.4%	0.9%
Issuer							
Bloomberg US MBS	0.2%	0.6%	1.0%	5.2%	4.6%	0.5%	1.4%
Bloomberg US Corp. High Yield	0.3%	2.5%	2.0%	5.9%	8.8%	4.2%	5.8%
Bloomberg US ST Govt/Cred	0.2%	0.9%	1.7%	4.0%	4.8%	3.4%	2.5%
Bloomberg US Credit	0.2%	1.3%	0.8%	4.3%	5.2%	0.4%	2.5%

OTHER	Month	QTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Year	5 Year	10 Year
Index							
Bloomberg Commodity	-8.5%	-8.1%	14.4%	25.5%	11.7%	9.4%	5.8%
FTSE NAREIT Equity REITS	3.1%	12.4%	17.8%	21.5%	12.5%	5.9%	6.1%
Morningstar LSTA US LL	0.1%	1.9%	1.3%	4.4%	7.5%	6.0%	5.5%
S&P Global Infrastructure	1.3%	1.6%	10.0%	16.8%	16.9%	11.9%	9.0%
Alerian MLP Infrastructure	0.5%	0.9%	18.2%	19.4%	22.2%	20.0%	8.6%
Emerging Market Debt							
JPM EMBI Global Div	0.7%	4.6%	3.3%	11.8%	10.3%	2.6%	3.7%
JPM GBI-EM Global Div	0.2%	3.9%	1.5%	7.8%	7.3%	2.1%	2.7%
Hedge Funds							
HFRI Composite	0.0%	6.1%	7.1%	15.9%	11.4%	6.5%	7.2%
HFRI FOF Composite	0.0%	6.5%	7.3%	15.3%	10.3%	5.6%	5.9%
Currency (Spot vs. USD)							
Euro	-2.0%	-1.1%	-2.8%	-3.1%	1.5%	-0.7%	0.3%
Pound Sterling	-1.4%	0.3%	-1.6%	-3.4%	1.4%	-0.8%	0.0%
Yen	-2.0%	-2.3%	-3.6%	-11.4%	-3.9%	-7.3%	-4.4%

Source: Bloomberg, HFRI, as of 6/30/26

Definitions

Bloomberg US Weekly Consumer Comfort Index - tracks the public's economic attitudes each week, providing a high-frequency read on consumer sentiment. The index, based on cell and landline telephone interviews with a random, representative national sample of U.S. adults, tracks Americans' ratings of the national economy, their personal finances and the buying climate on a weekly basis, with views of the economy's direction measured separately each month. (www.langerresearch.com)

University of Michigan Consumer Sentiment Index - A survey of consumer attitudes concerning both the present situation as well as expectations regarding economic conditions conducted by the University of Michigan. For the preliminary release approximately three hundred consumers are surveyed while five hundred are interviewed for the final figure. The level of consumer sentiment is related to the strength of consumer spending. (www.Bloomberg.com)

NFIB Small Business Outlook - Small Business Economic Trends (SBET) is a monthly assessment of the U.S. small-business economy and its near-term prospects. Its data are collected through mail surveys to random samples of the National Federation of Independent Business (NFIB) membership. The survey contains three broad question types: recent performance, near-term forecasts, and demographics. The topics addressed include: outlook, sales, earnings, employment, employee compensation, investment, inventories, credit conditions, and single most important problem. (<http://www.nfib-sbet.org/about/>)

NAHB Housing Market Index – the housing market index is a weighted average of separate diffusion indices for three key single-family indices: market conditions for the sale of new homes at the present time, market conditions for the sale of new homes in the next six months, and the traffic of prospective buyers of new homes. The first two series are rated on a scale of Good, Fair, and Poor and the last is rated on a scale of High/Very High, Average, and Low/Very Low. A diffusion index is calculated for each series by applying the formula $\frac{(\text{Good}-\text{Poor} + 100)}{2}$ to the present and future sales series and $\frac{(\text{High/Very High}-\text{Low/Very Low} + 100)}{2}$ to the traffic series. Each resulting index is then seasonally adjusted and weighted to produce the HMI. Based on this calculation, the HMI can range between 0 and 100.

Disclosures

Cerity Partners Institutional Consulting (“CPIC”) is an SEC-registered investment adviser and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Cerity Partners LLC. Registration as an investment adviser does not imply any level of skill or training.

The information contained herein is not personalized investment, tax, or legal advice and is for informational purposes only. There is no guarantee that any views or opinions expressed will come to pass. This information is subject to change without notice and should not be considered an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Before making any decision that may affect your retirement plan or finances, consult a qualified professional adviser.

Certain statements in this presentation may constitute forward-looking statements based on assumptions and projections. These statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied.

All return projections, yield estimates, and financial models presented herein are based on assumptions that may not be realized. Key assumptions include, but are not limited to: interest rate environment, inflation expectations, market liquidity, economic growth forecasts, and historical volatility data. These assumptions are subject to change without notice.

Earnings growth figures that may be shown in any slides are estimates/projections as of 7/2/26 and are not guaranteed. Actual earnings results may differ materially from earnings growth estimates due to changes in economic conditions, market conditions, or other factors. Projected earnings growth should not be viewed as indicative of future investment returns.

© 2026 Cerity Partners Institutional Consulting. All rights reserved.

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System


Investment Performance Review

Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Total Fund Portfolio Reconciliation

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Portfolio Reconciliation			
	Last Three Months	YTD	Fiscal Year-To-Date	One Year
Beginning Market Value	\$1,360,225,646	\$1,380,989,530	\$1,294,556,991	\$1,294,556,991
Net Cash Flows	-\$9,095,774	-\$16,132,391	-\$23,268,045	-\$23,268,045
Net Investment Change	\$111,064,144	\$97,539,364	\$191,503,811	\$191,503,811
Ending Market Value	\$1,462,043,407	\$1,462,043,407	\$1,462,043,407	\$1,462,043,407



Contributions and withdrawals may include intra-account transfers between managers/funds. Fee transactions are excluded from Portfolio Reconciliation.

Total Fund Executive Summary (Net of Fees)


Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	QTD	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs
Total Fund	1,462,043,407	100.0	8.1	7.0	14.8	14.8	11.8	7.1	8.9
Policy Index			7.7	6.7	14.5	14.5	12.1	7.2	9.2
InvMetrics Public DB Rank			71	65	55	55	68	35	46
Domestic Equity	546,311,603	37.4	15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1
Russell 3000 Index			15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1
International Equity	287,068,121	19.6	13.8	13.4	25.4	25.4	17.4	8.7	9.7
MSCI AC World ex USA Index			14.7	14.0	28.3	28.3	19.4	9.3	10.5
Core Fixed Income	288,417,822	19.7	0.9	1.0	4.1	4.1	5.0	0.3	N/A
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	0.1	1.5
U.S. TIPS	46,868,836	3.2	0.9	1.3	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	N/A
Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index			0.9	1.2	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6
Real Estate	106,127,463	7.3	0.8	1.5	3.4	3.4	-2.8	0.4	3.2
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7
Private Equity	66,289,956	4.5	-2.5	-1.6	3.3	3.3	3.5	7.2	14.4
Private Equity Benchmark			-2.5	-1.6	3.3	3.3	3.5	7.2	14.5
Private Credit	65,614,049	4.5	-0.2	2.2	7.8	7.8	9.6	10.9	N/A
Private Credit Benchmark			-0.2	2.2	7.8	7.8	9.6	10.9	N/A
Opportunistic	35,657,590	2.4	2.9	11.7	18.6	18.6	10.4	12.0	9.7
Assumption Rate + 1%			2.1	4.2	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5
Cash	19,687,968	1.3	0.9	1.8	3.9	3.9	3.6	2.2	1.1
90 Day U.S. Treasury Bill			0.9	1.7	3.8	3.8	4.6	3.5	2.3


New Policy Index (as of 3/1/2025): 33% Russell 3000, 17% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 22% Bloomberg Aggregate, 4% Bloomberg TIPS, 10% NCREIF Property, 5% Private Equity Benchmark, 8% Private Credit Benchmark, 1% 90 Day T-Bills. Prior quarter Private Equity returns, and index data are used. As of 10/1/2020 the SAA Target for equity changed to 33% Russell 3000 + 20% ACWI ex-US. William Blair funded 7/2/2024.

Total Fund Asset Allocation vs. Policy

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026




	Current Balance (\$)	Current Allocation (%)	Policy Allocation (%)	Excess Allocation (%)	Policy Range (%)	Within IPS Range?
Domestic Equity	546,311,603	37.4	33.0	4.4	23.0 - 43.0	Yes
International Equity	287,068,121	19.6	17.0	2.6	10.0 - 24.0	Yes
Core Fixed Income	288,417,822	19.7	22.0	-2.3	14.0 - 30.0	Yes
TIPS	46,868,836	3.2	4.0	-0.8	0.0 - 10.0	Yes
Real Estate	106,127,463	7.3	10.0	-2.7	5.0 - 15.0	Yes
Private Equity	66,289,956	4.5	5.0	-0.5	0.0 - 10.0	Yes
Private Credit	65,614,049	4.5	8.0	-3.5	0.0 - 12.0	Yes
Other	35,657,590	2.4	0.0	2.4	0.0 - 10.0	Yes
Cash and Equivalents	19,687,968	1.3	1.0	0.3	0.0 - 5.0	Yes
Total	1,462,043,407	100.0	100.0	0.0		



Total Fund
Relative Performance and Rolling Statistics Chart

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026


Rolling Annualized Excess Performance and Tracking Error



Total Fund

Attribution Analysis - Asset Class Level (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026



Performance Attribution		
	Quarter	YTD
Wtd. Actual Return	8.1	7.0
Wtd. Index Return	7.7	6.7
Excess Return	0.5	0.4
Selection Effect	-0.2	-0.1
Allocation Effect	0.6	0.5
Interaction Effect	0.0	0.0


Attribution Summary Last Three Months							
	Wtd. Actual Return	Wtd. Index Return	Excess Return	Selection Effect	Allocation Effect	Interaction Effects	Total Effects
Total Domestic Equity	15.4	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
Total International Equity	13.8	14.7	-0.9	-0.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1
Total Fixed Income	0.9	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.2
Total Real Estate	0.8	1.2	-0.4	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
Total Private Equity	-2.5	-2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Private Credit	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.2
Total Opportunistic	2.9	2.1	0.8	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Total Cash	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Total Fund	8.1	7.7	0.5	-0.2	0.6	0.0	0.5

Weighted returns shown in attribution analysis may differ from actual returns.

Total Fund Risk Analysis - 5 Years (Net of Fees)


Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Annualized Return	Annualized Excess Return	Annualized Standard Deviation	Annualized Alpha	Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Information Ratio	Up Capture	Down Capture
Total Fund	7.15	-0.07	8.58	0.25	0.96	0.88	0.99	-0.08	-0.08	97.61	96.50
Policy Index	7.19	0.00	8.92	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	-	-	100.00	100.00



● InvMetrics Public DB ■ Total Fund

	Return	Standard Deviation
■ Total Fund	7.15	8.58
◆ Policy Index	7.19	8.92
— Median	6.71	10.44
Population	581	581




● InvMetrics Public DB ■ Total Fund

	Up Capture	Down Capture
■ Total Fund	98.61	100.06
◆ Policy Index	100.00	100.00
— Median	109.66	118.88
Population	597	597


Total Fund Rolling Risk Statistics

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Rolling Information Ratio




Rolling Tracking Error



Rolling Up Market Capture Ratio (%)



Rolling Down Market Capture Ratio (%)



Total Fund Manager Summary (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	Inception	Inception Date
Total Fund	1,462,043,407	100.0	8.1	7.0	14.8	14.8	11.8	7.1	8.9	14.1	9.5	11.9	-11.4	15.9	8.8	Apr-89
Policy Index			7.7	6.7	14.5	14.5	12.1	7.2	9.2	14.5	10.1	12.8	-11.8	14.8	-	
InvMetrics Public DB Rank			71	65	55	55	68	35	46	42	73	72	21	22		
Domestic Equity	546,311,603	37.4	15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7	-	Mar-89
Russell 3000 Index			15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7	11.2	
BlackRock Russell 3000	546,311,603	37.4	15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7	14.4	Dec-15
Russell 3000 Index			15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7	14.3	
eV US All Cap Core Equity Rank			39	47	45	45	35	32	21	36	25	26	57	52		
International Equity	287,068,121	19.6	13.8	13.4	25.4	25.4	17.4	8.7	9.7	30.8	3.4	16.9	-15.4	10.1	7.6	Dec-94
MSCI AC World ex USA Index			14.7	14.0	28.3	28.3	19.4	9.3	10.5	33.1	6.1	16.2	-15.6	8.3	6.8	
BlackRock International Equity	217,459,172	14.9	11.0	10.0	20.7	20.7	16.7	9.4	10.0	31.8	3.8	18.6	-14.1	11.6	8.0	Jul-03
MSCI EAFE (Net)			10.8	9.4	20.2	20.2	16.4	9.0	9.7	31.2	3.8	18.2	-14.5	11.3	8.0	
eV All EAFE Equity Rank			34	40	46	46	51	44	43	51	54	34	37	53		
William Blair Emerging Growth	32,191,259	2.2	33.0	32.7	51.8	51.8	-	-	-	24.5	-	-	-	-	27.4	Jul-24
MSCI Emerging Markets Growth Index			26.5	24.7	44.9	44.9	-	-	-	34.8	-	-	-	-	30.8	
eV Emg Mkts Large Cap Growth Equity Rank			18	17	27	27	-	-	-	60	-	-	-	-		
DFA Emerging Markets Value	37,417,690	2.6	15.9	20.1	35.8	35.8	21.0	10.8	10.8	29.5	6.2	16.5	-10.7	12.4	6.2	Jan-07
MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)			21.7	23.0	42.3	42.3	22.3	9.2	9.4	32.7	4.5	14.2	-15.8	4.0	5.7	
eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity Rank			54	52	62	62	62	55	70	87	47	50	37	22		
Core Fixed Income	288,417,822	19.7	0.9	1.0	4.1	4.1	5.0	0.3	-	7.4	2.5	6.7	-14.7	-	0.5	Mar-21
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	0.1	-	7.3	1.3	5.5	-13.0	-	0.2	
Income Research & Management	143,743,539	9.8	0.8	0.7	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	7.3	1.7	-	-	-	5.2	Sep-23
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	-	-	-	7.3	1.3	-	-	-	4.7	
eV US Core Fixed Inc Rank			48	49	47	47	-	-	-	59	50	-	-	-		
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	144,674,283	9.9	1.0	1.2	4.2	4.2	5.5	0.5	2.4	7.6	3.3	6.7	-14.5	-0.5	2.3	Mar-15
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	0.1	1.5	7.3	1.3	5.5	-13.0	-1.5	1.8	
eV US Core Plus Fixed Inc Rank			56	13	56	56	25	56	57	49	21	47	84	54		
U.S. TIPS	46,868,836	3.2	0.9	1.3	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	-	6.9	2.0	3.9	-11.9	-	1.5	Mar-21
Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index			0.9	1.2	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	-	7.0	1.8	3.9	-11.8	-	1.5	
BlackRock US TIPS	46,868,836	3.2	0.9	1.3	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6	6.9	2.0	3.9	-11.9	5.9	3.6	Apr-07
Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index			0.9	1.2	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6	7.0	1.8	3.9	-11.8	6.0	3.6	
eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc Rank			28	48	39	39	52	49	52	60	54	44	67	26		

Harding Loevner liquidated 6/30/2024. William Blair Emerging Growth funded 7/02/2024. Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund funded 9/30/2025. All Private Equity, Private Credit funds, and Opportunistic funds are as of 3/31/2026 +/- cash flows with the exception of Portfolio Advisors, Lone Star, and Ascribe Opportunities which are as of 6/30/2026.

Total Fund Manager Summary (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	Inception	Inception Date
Real Estate	106,127,463	7.3	0.8	1.5	3.4	3.4	-2.8	0.4	3.2	3.4	-5.9	-14.3	8.5	18.4	4.0	Dec-06
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7	5.8	
NCREIF ODCE Net			1.3	2.3	3.6	3.6	-1.4	1.9	3.7	2.9	-2.3	-12.7	6.5	21.0		
ASB Real Estate	22,473,053	1.5	1.9	3.0	5.0	5.0	-6.8	-2.8	0.7	4.7	-15.2	-21.5	10.7	14.2	3.6	Jan-13
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7	6.4	
NCREIF ODCE Net			1.3	2.3	3.6	3.6	-1.4	1.9	3.7	2.9	-2.3	-12.7	6.5	21.0		
Clarion Lion	29,628,900	2.0	1.8	3.1	5.8	5.8	0.1	2.4	4.8	5.3	-2.3	-15.5	9.6	23.6	4.4	Jan-07
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7	5.8	
NCREIF ODCE Net			1.3	2.3	3.6	3.6	-1.4	1.9	3.7	2.9	-2.3	-12.7	6.5	21.0		
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	51,889,662	3.5	-0.2	0.1	1.5	1.5	-2.6	0.9	-	1.9	-3.7	-10.3	6.8	18.6	3.5	Jan-18
NCREIF Property Index +2%			1.7	3.5	7.0	7.0	3.1	5.3	-	7.0	2.4	-6.1	7.6	20.0	6.3	
NCREIF ODCE Net			1.3	2.3	3.6	3.6	-1.4	1.9	-	2.9	-2.3	-12.7	6.5	21.0		
1221 State St. Corp	2,135,849	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	Jun-08
Private Equity	66,289,956	4.5	-2.5	-1.6	3.3	3.3	3.5	7.2	14.4	5.0	6.0	3.9	0.6	57.3	-	Mar-10
Harbourvest Buyout IX	1,467,364	0.1	-4.7	-9.1	-7.6	-7.6	-6.5	-0.8	11.0	-8.1	-3.2	5.9	-6.0	49.6	-	Jun-11
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	18.5	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	17.3	
Harbourvest Credit Ops IX	115,876	0.0	-4.7	-5.2	-19.0	-19.0	-18.0	-6.1	2.7	-34.4	-12.5	5.0	11.0	32.1	-	Jun-11
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	18.5	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	17.3	
Harbourvest International PE VI	784,651	0.1	11.4	5.1	17.2	17.2	2.3	-0.7	8.9	19.7	-14.6	3.9	-16.7	41.2	-	Mar-10
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	18.5	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	17.3	
Harbourvest Venture IX	2,834,568	0.2	-4.3	2.1	16.7	16.7	4.0	2.7	16.3	13.2	-0.6	-9.5	-15.9	91.1	-	Jun-11
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	18.5	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	17.3	
Harbourvest 2017 Global Fund	19,059,854	1.3	-4.0	-3.7	-0.5	-0.5	3.6	6.6	-	4.0	8.6	4.6	-1.3	61.6	13.6	Oct-17
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	-	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	18.0	
Harbourvest 2018 Global Fund	16,945,113	1.2	-2.0	-1.1	3.2	3.2	2.2	8.8	-	3.2	4.3	4.0	9.7	37.0	11.1	Jan-19
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	-	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	20.6	
Harbourvest 2019 Global Fund	18,042,371	1.2	-1.9	-1.4	3.5	3.5	5.0	10.3	-	5.7	8.7	6.1	5.4	49.6	15.3	Dec-19
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	24.0	15.7	-	20.7	27.5	29.7	-16.8	29.4	18.8	
Harbourvest 2023 Global Fund	5,858,967	0.4	-1.4	3.4	13.0	13.0	-	-	-	17.3	29.2	-	-	-	19.6	Dec-23
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	-	-	-	20.7	27.5	-	-	-	24.7	
Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund	1,181,192	0.1	0.1	14.6	N/A	N/A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	N/A	Jul-25
Russell 3000 + 3%			16.3	12.5	26.5	26.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.5	

Harding Loevner liquidated 6/30/2024. William Blair Emerging Growth funded 7/02/2024. Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund funded 9/30/2025. All Private Equity, Private Credit funds, and Opportunistic funds are as of 3/31/2026 +/- cash flows with the exception of Portfolio Advisors, Lone Star, and Ascribe Opportunities which are as of 6/30/2026.

Total Fund Manager Summary (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	Inception	Inception Date
Private Credit	65,614,049	4.5	-0.2	2.2	7.8	7.8	9.6	10.9	-	9.4	11.5	10.7	10.3	19.5	9.7	Oct-17
Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	12,080,927	0.8	1.8	5.7	8.3	8.3	7.5	9.1	-	4.6	10.3	4.0	7.7	26.8	8.8	Oct-17
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	6.9	
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	822,693	0.1	N/A	-2.7	-14.9	-14.9	-4.4	0.8	-	-20.6	5.8	9.3	10.9	11.5	4.1	Mar-18
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	7.0	
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	537,106	0.0	-0.8	1.9	3.9	3.9	8.4	11.9	-	5.9	12.3	10.4	15.5	23.1	13.9	Oct-18
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	7.3	
Ares Capital Europe IV	3,163,197	0.2	-1.2	-0.5	2.0	2.0	5.5	6.9	-	6.5	7.6	7.8	7.0	11.8	8.2	Aug-18
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	7.3	
Lone Star XI	1,996,466	0.1	0.9	8.1	26.0	26.0	20.4	37.1	-	25.1	18.8	16.2	13.7	538.0	19.8	Jun-19
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	7.3	
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	43,418,013	3.0	-0.7	1.4	8.3	8.3	11.3	10.2	-	11.6	12.5	16.1	13.2	-1.4	8.2	May-20
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	6.3	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	13.5	6.8	
Ascribe Opportunities Fund IV	3,595,647	0.2	0.2	1.4	3.4	3.4	7.3	-	-	5.5	9.4	11.0	5.6	-	9.3	Oct-21
Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged)			0.0	1.8	9.1	9.1	10.8	-	-	9.5	18.0	12.5	-12.4	-	5.9	
Opportunistic	35,657,590	2.4	2.9	11.7	18.6	18.6	10.4	12.0	9.7	9.4	4.0	13.0	11.1	23.2	11.1	Dec-07
KKR Mezzanine Partners	20,649	0.0	-0.7	-0.9	3.8	3.8	-47.4	-33.4	-17.3	-58.9	-65.2	22.5	-31.0	10.5	-8.4	Jun-11
TSSP Adjacent Opportunities Partners	35,636,941	2.4	2.8	11.7	18.6	18.6	12.1	14.0	-	10.6	7.7	12.4	16.2	26.1	15.1	Apr-20
Cash	19,687,968	1.3	0.9	1.8	3.9	3.9	3.6	2.2	1.1	4.3	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	-	Sep-07
Invesco Treasury Portfolio	19,687,968	1.3	0.9	1.8	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	4.3	4.8	-	-	-	4.4	Jan-24
90 Day U.S. Treasury Bill			0.9	1.7	3.8	3.8	-	-	-	4.2	5.3	-	-	-	4.5	

Harding Loevner liquidated 6/30/2024. William Blair Emerging Growth funded 7/02/2024. Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund funded 9/30/2025. All Private Equity, Private Credit funds, and Opportunistic funds are as of 3/31/2026 +/- cash flows with the exception of Portfolio Advisors, Lone Star, and Ascribe Opportunities which are as of 6/30/2026.

Investment Manager
Risk Analysis by Manager - 3 Years (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Annualized Return	Annualized Excess Return	Annualized Standard Deviation	Annualized Alpha	Annualized Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Information Ratio	Up Capture	Down Capture
BlackRock Russell 3000	20.35	14.99	13.21	0.00	1.00	0.02	1.00	1.14	-0.06	100.00	100.01
BlackRock International Equity	16.75	11.93	13.32	0.21	1.00	0.32	1.00	0.90	0.86	100.85	99.72
DFA Emerging Markets Value	21.03	15.66	13.87	2.75	0.81	4.48	0.95	1.13	-0.34	88.60	81.34
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	5.45	0.93	5.49	1.26	1.00	0.57	0.99	0.17	2.16	106.80	88.98
BlackRock US TIPS	3.99	-0.54	4.14	0.03	0.99	0.22	1.00	-0.13	0.02	100.78	101.45
ASB Real Estate	-6.75	-11.19	7.87	-8.69	2.18	6.11	0.56	-1.40	-1.27	72.84	469.96
Clarion Lion	0.14	-4.33	3.92	-1.26	1.35	1.71	0.87	-1.08	-0.52	117.02	174.20
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	-2.64	-7.17	3.15	-5.48	0.97	1.73	0.70	-2.21	-3.30	14.24	198.91
Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	7.49	2.78	3.90	6.96	0.06	5.64	0.00	0.71	-0.54	47.73	-70.01
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	-4.39	-8.67	8.28	-6.21	0.22	8.89	0.01	-1.06	-1.63	-16.31	104.54
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	8.43	3.66	4.14	10.49	-0.18	6.51	0.03	0.89	-0.33	44.13	-135.91
Ares Capital Europe IV	5.45	0.82	2.89	7.15	-0.15	5.72	0.05	0.29	-0.87	26.01	-106.20
Lone Star XI	20.39	14.69	10.59	20.42	0.05	11.36	0.00	1.39	0.78	123.35	-196.53
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	11.27	6.33	5.45	13.56	-0.19	7.43	0.02	1.17	0.07	61.06	-164.18
Ascribe Opportunities Fund IV	7.26	2.63	5.55	6.48	0.08	6.81	0.00	0.48	-0.47	40.94	-104.54

Risk analysis requires 3 years of data.

Investment Manager
Risk Analysis by Manager - 5 Years (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Annualized Return	Annualized Excess Return	Annualized Standard Deviation	Annualized Alpha	Annualized Beta	Tracking Error	R-Squared	Sharpe Ratio	Information Ratio	Up Capture	Down Capture
BlackRock Russell 3000	12.30	9.46	15.94	-0.01	1.00	0.02	1.00	0.60	-0.26	99.99	100.00
BlackRock International Equity	9.39	6.74	15.43	0.31	1.00	0.27	1.00	0.44	1.18	100.92	99.58
DFA Emerging Markets Value	10.76	7.95	15.23	2.52	0.87	3.93	0.96	0.53	0.29	92.75	82.08
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	0.54	-2.71	6.65	0.47	1.04	0.97	0.98	-0.42	0.49	104.31	98.73
BlackRock US TIPS	1.01	-2.28	5.99	0.00	1.00	0.21	1.00	-0.38	0.02	100.78	100.84
ASB Real Estate	-2.82	-5.92	9.02	-6.75	1.37	5.68	0.65	-0.64	-1.01	101.78	326.66
Clarion Lion	2.39	-0.78	8.17	-2.12	1.46	3.50	0.91	-0.09	-0.18	133.90	200.95
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	0.90	-2.41	5.70	-4.27	1.02	1.69	0.91	-0.40	-2.50	61.11	153.49
Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	9.13	5.44	5.41	8.77	0.07	8.23	0.01	0.98	0.31	58.42	-31.88
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	0.76	-2.43	7.39	1.60	-0.09	10.38	0.01	-0.33	-0.51	6.01	-5.43
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	11.86	7.99	6.44	11.58	0.07	8.96	0.01	1.21	0.57	62.20	-68.26
Ares Capital Europe IV	6.87	3.30	4.78	6.67	0.05	8.00	0.00	0.68	0.05	37.87	-37.98
Lone Star XI	37.14	36.04	49.99	44.33	0.35	50.13	0.00	0.72	0.66	284.11	-88.77
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	10.16	6.58	8.22	9.66	0.12	10.08	0.01	0.80	0.37	46.82	-76.10

Risk analysis requires 5 years of data.

Private Equity Non Marketable Securities Overview

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

		Estimated 6/30/2026 Market Value ³	Total Commitment	Capital Called	% Called	Remaining Commitment	Capital Returned	Market Value for IRR	Distrib./ Paid-In (DPI) ¹	Tot. Value/ Paid-In (TVPI) ²	Net IRR Since Inception ⁴	IRR Date	
2011	HarbourVest IX-Buyout	\$1,467,364	\$10,000,000	\$8,525,000	85%	\$1,475,000	\$16,959,912	\$1,467,364	198.9%	216.2%	16.6%	3/31/26	
2011	HarbourVest IX-Credit	\$115,876	\$2,000,000	\$1,641,541	82%	\$358,459	\$2,662,948	\$115,876	162.2%	169.3%	10.7%	3/31/26	
2008	HarbourVest Int'l VI ⁵	\$784,651	\$3,712,930	\$2,630,078	71%	\$1,082,852	\$4,998,310	\$784,651	190.0%	219.9%	13.2%	3/31/26	
2011	HarbourVest IX-Venture	\$2,834,568	\$4,000,000	\$3,800,000	95%	\$200,000	\$2,905,992	\$2,834,568	76.5%	151.1%	20.1%	3/31/26	
2017	HarbourVest 2017 Global	\$19,059,854	\$30,000,000	\$19,364,051	65%	\$10,635,949	\$21,522,134	\$19,059,854	111.1%	209.6%	15.7%	3/31/26	
2018	HarbourVest 2018 Global	\$16,945,113	\$20,000,000	\$11,438,043	57%	\$8,561,957	\$10,175,783	\$17,915,925	89.0%	237.1%	14.2%	3/31/26	
2019	HarbourVest 2019 Global	\$18,042,371	\$20,000,000	\$13,975,273	70%	\$6,024,727	\$6,510,166	\$18,718,933	46.6%	175.7%	14.5%	3/31/26	
2023	HarbourVest 2023 Global	\$5,858,967	\$10,000,000	\$4,703,540	47%	\$5,296,460	\$131,238	\$5,858,967	2.8%	127.4%	18.9%	3/31/26	
2025	HarbourVest 2025 Global	\$1,181,192	\$10,000,000	\$1,150,000	12%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Illiquid Private Equity		\$66,289,956	\$99,712,930	\$66,077,526	66%	\$33,635,404	\$65,866,483	\$66,756,138	99.7%	200.0%			
% of Portfolio (Market Value)		4.53%											
								Management Fee	Admin Fee	Interest Expense	Other Expense	Total Expense ⁶	
								HarbourVest IX-Buyout	\$4,556	\$0	\$0	\$511	\$5,067
								HarbourVest IX-Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$87	\$87
								HarbourVest Int'l VI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$116	\$116
								HarbourVest IX-Venture	\$1,823	\$0	\$0	\$422	\$2,245
								HarbourVest 2017 Global	\$49,500	\$0	\$0	\$6,251	\$55,751
								HarbourVest 2018 Global	\$44,000	\$0	\$0	\$4,950	\$48,950
								HarbourVest 2019 Global	\$43,397	\$0	\$0	\$16,725	\$60,122
								HarbourVest 2023 Global	\$24,580	\$0	\$0	\$32,751	\$57,331
								HarbourVest 2025 Global	\$13,219	\$0	\$0	\$18,521	\$31,740
									\$181,075	\$0	\$0	\$80,334	\$261,409

¹(DPI) is equal to (capital returned / capital called)

²(TVPI) is equal to (market value + capital returned) / capital called

³Last known market value + capital calls - distributions (preliminary MV's as of 6/30/2026)

⁴Net IRR is calculated on the cash flows of all the limited partners of the fund and is net of all fees. Each IRR figure is provided by its HarbourVest.

⁵HarbourVest International Private Equity Partners VI-Partnership Fund L.P. values are originally presented in euros and are calculated to dollars using XE™.

⁶Fees and expenses are for 1Q 2026

HarbourVest 2017 Global Fund first capital call issued 9/21/2017. Portfolio Advisors first capital call issued 10/5/2017. HarbourVest 2018 Global Fund first capital call issued 12/13/2018.
HarbourVest 2023 Global Fund first capital call issued 12/28/2023. HarbourVest 2025 Global Fund first capital call issued 7/20/2025.

Private Credit Non Marketable Securities Overview

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Vintage	Manager & Fund Name	Estimated 6/30/2026 Market Value ³	Total Commitment	Capital Called	% Called	Remaining Commitment	Capital Returned	Market Value for IRR	Distrib./ Paid-In (DPI) ¹	Tot. Value/ Paid-In (TVPI) ²	Net IRR Since Inception ⁴	IRR Date
2013	Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	\$12,080,927	\$11,250,000	\$11,250,000	100%	\$0	\$5,381,127	\$12,074,513	47.8%	155.2%	10.9%	3/31/26
2017	Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	\$822,693	\$7,000,000	\$7,078,112	101%	-\$78,112	\$6,553,019	\$822,693	92.6%	104.2%	8.0%	3/31/26
2017	Audax Direct Lending Fund A	\$537,106	\$7,000,000	\$6,311,934	90%	\$688,066	\$9,060,767	\$5,446,713	143.5%	152.1%	12.9%	3/31/26
2018	Ares Capital Europe IV	\$3,163,197	\$8,000,000	\$6,762,884	85%	\$1,237,116	\$6,820,104	\$3,238,359	100.8%	147.6%	8.7%	3/31/26
2019	Lone Star Fund XI	\$1,996,466	\$5,750,000	\$5,191,959	90%	\$558,041	\$7,630,168	\$1,996,466	147.0%	185.4%	26.2%	6/30/26
2019	Ascribe Opportunities IV	\$3,595,647	\$6,000,000	\$5,456,288	91%	\$543,712	\$3,339,646	\$4,267,157	61.2%	127.1%	9.1%	12/31/25
2020	Sixth Street Diversified Credit	\$43,418,013	\$60,000,000	\$37,310,675	62%	\$22,689,325	\$8,407,142	\$41,451,464	22.5%	138.9%	10.6%	3/31/26
Total Illiquid Private Credit		\$65,614,049	\$105,000,000	\$79,361,852	75.6%	\$25,638,148	\$47,191,973	\$69,297,365	59.5%	142.1%		
% of Portfolio (Market Value)		4.49%										
							Management Fee	Accrued Carried Interest	Admin Fee	Interest Expense	Other Expense	Total Expense⁵
							Portfolio Advisors CSF	\$28,266	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,266
							Crescent Direct Lending II	\$2,963	\$2,533	\$0	\$1,358	\$6,854
							Audax Direct Lending A	\$23,289	\$0	\$0	\$59,284	\$82,573
							Ares Capital Europe IV	\$12,788	\$6,907	\$0	\$21,142	\$49,556
							Ascribe Opportunities IV	\$259,647	\$76,580	\$0	\$110,879	\$447,106
							Lone Star Fund XI	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
							Sixth Street Diversified Credit	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$113,469	\$113,469
								\$326,953	\$86,020	\$0	\$21,142	\$293,709
												\$727,824

¹(DPI) is equal to (capital returned / capital called)

²(TVPI) is equal to (market value + capital returned) / capital called

³Last known market value + capital calls - distributions (preliminary MV's as of 6/30/2026)

⁴Net IRR is calculated on the cash flows of all the limited partners of the fund and is net of all fees. Each IRR figure is provided by its respective manager.

⁵All fees and expenses are for 1Q 2026

Portfolio Advisors first capital call issued 10/5/2017. Crescent Direct Lending first called 3/13/2018. Ares IV first called 8/13/2018. Audax Direct Lending first called 10/26/2018. As of 12/31/2024, following a compliance review with their third-party consultant, ACA, Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund have adopted time-weighted returns (TWR) instead of IRR.

Opportunistic Non Marketable Securities Overview

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Vintage	Manager & Fund Name	Estimated 6/30/26 Market Value ³	Total Commitment	Capital Called	% Called	Remaining Commitment	Capital Returned	Market Value for IRR	Distrib./ Paid-In (DPI) ¹	Tot. Value/ Paid-In (TVPI) ²	Net IRR Since Inception ⁵	IRR Date
2010	KKR Mezzanine ⁶	\$20,649	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000	100%	\$0	\$14,365,691	\$20,649	143.7%	143.9%	2.7%	3/31/26
2020	TSSP Adjacent Opportunities Partners	\$35,636,941	\$40,000,000	\$35,059,341	88%	\$4,940,659	\$19,183,007	N/A	54.7%	156.4%	N/A	N/A
Total Illiquid Opportunistic		\$35,657,590	\$50,000,000	\$45,059,341	90%	\$4,940,659	\$33,548,698	\$34,266	0.1%	74.5%		
% of Portfolio (Market Value)		2.44%										
							Management Fee	Accrued Carried Interest	Admin Fee	Interest Expense	Other Expense	Total Expense⁶
							\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,942	\$2,942
							\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
							\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,942	\$2,942

¹(DPI) is equal to (capital returned / capital called)

²(TVPI) is equal to (market value + capital returned) / capital called

³Last known market value + capital calls - distributions (preliminary MV's as of 6/30/2026)

⁴Investment period ended, no further capital to be called.

⁵Net IRR is calculated on the cash flows of all the limited partners of the fund and is net of all fees. Each IRR figure is provided by its respective manager.

⁶All fees and expenses are for 1Q 2026

Total Fund
Cash Flow by Manager (Last Three Months)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026


	Beginning Market Value	Contributions	Withdrawals	Net Cash Flows	Capital Appreciation	Ending Market Value
BlackRock Russell 3000	\$473,278,391	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$73,033,212	\$546,311,603
BlackRock International Equity	\$195,845,328	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$21,613,845	\$217,459,172
William Blair Emerging Growth	\$24,212,242	\$0	-\$58,752	-\$58,752	\$8,037,768	\$32,191,259
DFA Emerging Markets Value	\$32,285,074	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,132,616	\$37,417,690
Income Research & Management	\$142,604,508	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,139,030	\$143,743,539
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	\$143,230,871	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,443,412	\$144,674,283
BlackRock US TIPS	\$46,447,820	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$421,016	\$46,868,836
ASB Real Estate	\$22,061,298	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$411,755	\$22,473,053
Clarion Lion	\$29,167,295	\$179,877	-\$256,722	-\$76,845	\$538,450	\$29,628,900
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	\$51,997,772	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$42,500	\$51,889,662
1221 State St. Corp	\$2,095,897	\$47,945	-\$8,127	\$39,818	\$134	\$2,135,849
Harbourvest Buyout IX	\$1,540,490	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$73,126	\$1,467,364
Harbourvest Credit Ops IX	\$121,636	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$5,760	\$115,876
Harbourvest International PE VI	\$704,563	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$80,089	\$784,651
Harbourvest Venture IX	\$2,962,462	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$127,894	\$2,834,568
Harbourvest 2017 Global Fund	\$19,857,871	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$798,017	\$19,059,854
Harbourvest 2018 Global Fund	\$18,273,382	\$0	-\$970,812	-\$970,812	-\$357,457	\$16,945,113
Harbourvest 2019 Global Fund	\$19,086,163	\$0	-\$676,562	-\$676,562	-\$367,230	\$18,042,371
Harbourvest 2023 Global Fund	\$5,944,460	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$85,493	\$5,858,967
Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund	\$680,497	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000	\$695	\$1,181,192
Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	\$12,074,513	\$0	-\$204,000	-\$204,000	\$210,414	\$12,080,927
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	\$822,693	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$822,693
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	\$5,493,026	\$0	-\$4,909,607	-\$4,909,607	-\$46,313	\$537,106
Ares Capital Europe IV	\$3,277,499	\$0	-\$75,162	-\$75,162	-\$39,140	\$3,163,197
Lone Star XI	\$2,154,865	\$0	-\$176,329	-\$176,329	\$17,930	\$1,996,466
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	\$41,735,549	\$2,160,973	-\$194,423	\$1,966,550	-\$284,086	\$43,418,013
Ascribe Opportunities Fund IV	\$3,586,929	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,718	\$3,595,647
KKR Mezzanine Partners	\$234,701	\$0	-\$212,311	-\$212,311	-\$1,741	\$20,649
TSSP Adjacent Opportunities Partners	\$31,530,101	\$4,782,757	-\$1,558,129	\$3,224,628	\$882,212	\$35,636,941
Invesco Treasury Portfolio	\$26,917,752	\$9,036,092	-\$16,502,482	-\$7,466,389	\$236,605	\$19,687,968
Total Fund	\$1,360,225,646	\$16,707,644	-\$25,803,418	-\$9,095,774	\$111,064,144	\$1,462,043,407

Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund funded in Q3 2025. All Private Equity, Private Credit funds, and Opportunistic funds are as of 3/31/2026 +/- cash flows with the exception of Portfolio Advisors, Lone Star, and Ascribe Opportunities which are as of 6/30/2026.


Total Fund Asset Allocation History

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Market Value History



Asset Allocation History



Total Fund Investment Fund Fee Analysis

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Account	Fee Schedule	Market Value	% of Portfolio	Estimated Annual Fee (\$)	Estimated Annual Fee (%)
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	1.25 % of First \$10 M 1.20 % of Next \$15 M 1.10 % of Next \$25 M 1.00 % Thereafter	51,889,662	3.55	598,897	1.15
Ares Capital Europe IV	1.38 % of Assets	3,163,197	0.22	43,652	1.38
ASB Real Estate	1.25 % of First \$5 M 1.00 % of Next \$10 M 0.75 % Thereafter	22,473,053	1.54	218,548	0.97
Ascribe Opportunities Fund IV	1.50 % of Assets	3,595,647	0.25	53,935	1.50
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	0.85 % of Assets	537,106	0.04	4,565	0.85
BlackRock International Equity	0.15 % of First \$50 M 0.10 % of Next \$50 M 0.00 % Thereafter	217,459,172	14.87	125,000	0.06
BlackRock Russell 3000	0.03 % of Assets	546,311,603	37.37	163,893	0.03
BlackRock US TIPS	0.07 % of Assets	46,868,836	3.21	32,808	0.07
Clarion Lion	1.25 % of First \$10 M 1.00 % of Next \$15 M 0.85 % Thereafter	29,628,900	2.03	314,346	1.06
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	Minimum Fee: \$25,280	822,693	0.06	25,280	3.07
DFA Emerging Markets Value	0.44 % of Assets	37,417,690	2.56	164,638	0.44
Income Research & Management	0.20 % of First \$100 M 0.17 % Thereafter	143,743,539	9.83	274,364	0.19
Harbourvest 2017 Global Fund	Minimum Fee: \$262,500	19,059,854	1.30	262,500	1.38
Harbourvest 2018 Global Fund	Minimum Fee: \$138,000	16,945,113	1.16	138,000	0.81
Harbourvest 2019 Global Fund	0.45 % of Assets	18,042,371	1.23	81,191	0.45
Harbourvest 2023 Global Fund	0.50 % of Assets	5,858,967	0.40	29,295	0.50
Harbourvest 2025 Global Fund	1.00 % of Assets	1,181,192	0.08	11,812	1.00
Harbourvest Buyout IX	Minimum Fee: \$100,000	1,467,364	0.10	100,000	6.81
Harbourvest Credit Ops IX	Minimum Fee: \$20,000	115,876	0.01	20,000	17.26
Harbourvest International PE VI	Minimum Fee: \$35,000	784,651	0.05	35,000	4.46
Harbourvest Venture IX	Minimum Fee: \$40,000	2,834,568	0.19	40,000	1.41
Invesco Treasury Portfolio		19,687,968	1.35	-	-
KKR Mezzanine Partners	Minimum Fee: \$150,000	20,649	0.00	150,000	726.44
Lone Star XI	0.71 % of Assets	1,996,466	0.14	14,155	0.71
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	0.30 % of Assets	144,674,283	9.90	434,023	0.30

*HarbourVest and KKR fees are estimated gross management fees only and do not include incentive allocations or offsetting cash flows received by the fund.

*HarbourVest International Private Equity VI fees are based on committed Euros, actual US Dollar amount will fluctuate based on exchange rates.

*Verus advisory fee shown for disclosure purposes only and is not included in total investment management fee calculations.

*Portfolio Advisors fee is 0.20% on committed capital and 1.00% on invested capital.

Total Fund Investment Fund Fee Analysis

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Account	Fee Schedule	Market Value	% of Portfolio	Estimated Annual Fee (\$)	Estimated Annual Fee (%)
Portfolio Advisors Credit Strategies Fund	Minimum Fee: \$180,000	12,080,927	0.83	180,000	1.49
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	1.35 % of Assets	43,418,013	2.97	586,143	1.35
TSSP Adjacent Opportunities Partners		35,636,941	2.44	-	-
William Blair Emerging Growth	0.90 % of First \$25 M 0.85 % of Next \$25 M 0.70 % of Next \$50 M 0.65 % of Next \$50 M 0.60 % of Next \$50 M 0.40 % of Next \$200 M 0.35 % Thereafter	32,191,259	2.20	286,126	0.89
Investment Management Fee		1,462,043,407	100.00	4,388,170	0.30


**HarbourVest and KKR fees are estimated gross management fees only and do not include incentive allocations or offsetting cash flows received by the fund.*

**HarbourVest International Private Equity VI fees are based on committed Euros, actual US Dollar amount will fluctuate based on exchange rates.*

**Verus advisory fee shown for disclosure purposes only and is not included in total investment management fee calculations.*

**Portfolio Advisors fee is 0.20% on committed capital and 1.00% on invested capital.*

Total Fund vs. InvMetrics Public DB Net




Total Fund

Peer Universe Comparison: Consecutive Periods (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System



Period Ending: June 30, 2026

Total Fund vs. InvMetrics Public DB Net



Total Fund
Rolling Return Analysis (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026



Total Domestic Equity

Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System

Period Ending: June 30, 2026


	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Total Domestic Equity	546,311,603	100.0	15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7
<i>Russell 3000 Index</i>			<i>15.4</i>	<i>10.9</i>	<i>22.8</i>	<i>22.8</i>	<i>20.4</i>	<i>12.3</i>	<i>15.1</i>	<i>17.1</i>	<i>23.8</i>	<i>26.0</i>	<i>-19.2</i>	<i>25.7</i>
BlackRock Russell 3000	546,311,603	100.0	15.4	10.9	22.8	22.8	20.4	12.3	15.1	17.1	23.8	26.0	-19.2	25.7
<i>Russell 3000 Index</i>			<i>15.4</i>	<i>10.9</i>	<i>22.8</i>	<i>22.8</i>	<i>20.4</i>	<i>12.3</i>	<i>15.1</i>	<i>17.1</i>	<i>23.8</i>	<i>26.0</i>	<i>-19.2</i>	<i>25.7</i>
<i>eV US All Cap Core Equity Rank</i>			<i>39</i>	<i>47</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>45</i>	<i>35</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>21</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>25</i>	<i>26</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>52</i>

U.S. Effective Style Map
3 Years Ending: June 30, 2026



BlackRock Russell 3000

U.S. Effective Style Map
5 Years Ending: June 30, 2026




BlackRock Russell 3000

Total International Equity Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Total International Equity	287,068,121	100.0	13.8	13.4	25.4	25.4	17.4	8.7	9.7	30.8	3.4	16.9	-15.4	10.1
MSCI AC World ex USA Index			14.7	14.0	28.3	28.3	19.4	9.3	10.5	33.1	6.1	16.2	-15.6	8.3
BlackRock International Equity	217,459,172	75.8	11.0	10.0	20.7	20.7	16.7	9.4	10.0	31.8	3.8	18.6	-14.1	11.6
MSCI EAFE (Net)			10.8	9.4	20.2	20.2	16.4	9.0	9.7	31.2	3.8	18.2	-14.5	11.3
eV All EAFE Equity Rank			34	40	46	46	51	44	43	51	54	34	37	53
William Blair Emerging Growth	32,191,259	11.2	33.0	32.7	51.8	51.8	-	-	-	24.5	-	-	-	-
MSCI Emerging Markets Growth Index			26.5	24.7	44.9	44.9	-	-	-	34.8	-	-	-	-
eV Emg Mkts Large Cap Growth Equity Rank			18	17	27	27	-	-	-	60	-	-	-	-
DFA Emerging Markets Value	37,417,690	13.0	15.9	20.1	35.8	35.8	21.0	10.8	10.8	29.5	6.2	16.5	-10.7	12.4
MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)			21.7	23.0	42.3	42.3	22.3	9.2	9.4	32.7	4.5	14.2	-15.8	4.0
eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity Rank			54	52	62	62	62	55	70	87	47	50	37	22

MSCI Effective Style Map
3 Years Ending: June 30, 2026



■ BlackRock International Equity
 ● DFA Emerging Markets Value
 ▲ Total International Equity

MSCI Effective Style Map
5 Years Ending: June 30, 2026




■ BlackRock International Equity
 ● DFA Emerging Markets Value
 ▲ Total International Equity

Harding Loevner liquidated 6/30/2024.

BlackRock International Equity Cumulative Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026


BlackRock International Equity vs. eV All EAFE Equity



	Quarter	Fiscal YTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	7 Years	10 Years
● BlackRock International Equity	11.02 (34)	20.65 (46)	9.96 (40)	20.65 (46)	16.75 (51)	9.39 (44)	10.23 (51)	10.00 (43)
▲ MSCI EAFE (Net)	10.82 (37)	20.23 (49)	9.44 (47)	20.23 (49)	16.44 (56)	9.05 (48)	9.90 (59)	9.66 (54)
5th Percentile	16.90	31.53	16.53	31.53	24.13	14.32	13.74	12.44
1st Quartile	11.79	24.28	11.33	24.28	19.85	11.10	11.52	10.59
Median	9.87	20.12	9.24	20.12	16.79	8.87	10.23	9.78
3rd Quartile	7.67	14.43	6.55	14.43	13.65	6.19	8.63	8.50
95th Percentile	3.59	2.85	1.58	2.85	6.97	1.11	5.17	6.30
Population	457	455	457	455	436	399	373	319


BlackRock International Equity Consecutive Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026




BlackRock International Equity Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026



eV All EAFE Equity

	Return	Standard Deviation
BlackRock International Equity	16.75	13.32
MSCI EAFE (Net)	16.44	13.27
Median	16.79	13.41
Population	436	436




eV All EAFE Equity

	Return	Standard Deviation
BlackRock International Equity	9.39	15.43
MSCI EAFE (Net)	9.05	15.41
Median	8.87	15.85
Population	399	399

DFA Emerging Markets Value Cumulative Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

DFA Emerging Markets Value vs. eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity




	Quarter	Fiscal YTD	YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	7 Years	10 Years
● DFA Emerging Markets Value	15.90 (54)	35.79 (62)	20.14 (52)	35.79 (62)	21.03 (62)	10.76 (55)	10.60 (74)	10.78 (70)
▲ MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)	21.67 (39)	42.27 (49)	23.01 (47)	42.27 (49)	22.30 (59)	9.17 (77)	9.19 (85)	9.44 (91)
5th Percentile	33.58	76.88	39.36	76.88	32.57	16.62	17.11	16.10
1st Quartile	25.64	57.07	30.47	57.07	27.54	13.31	13.67	13.44
Median	16.72	41.83	21.15	41.83	23.87	11.20	11.93	11.76
3rd Quartile	7.09	27.92	9.59	27.92	18.68	9.55	10.02	9.83
95th Percentile	1.93	11.81	3.44	11.81	15.06	6.63	8.14	8.45
Population	48	48	48	48	46	43	38	32

DFA Emerging Markets Value Consecutive Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026


DFA Emerging Markets Value vs. eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity



	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
● DFA Emerging Markets Value	29.49 (87)	6.17 (47)	16.49 (50)	-10.74 (37)	12.41 (22)	2.73 (81)	9.64 (94)	-11.93 (35)	33.76 (36)	19.84 (28)
▲ MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)	32.74 (74)	4.51 (50)	14.21 (73)	-15.83 (62)	4.00 (70)	5.48 (70)	11.96 (92)	-10.74 (20)	28.07 (82)	14.90 (58)
5th Percentile	52.94	10.84	24.89	-1.82	20.65	23.43	27.51	-6.59	42.50	30.72
1st Quartile	45.38	9.59	20.91	-8.83	11.20	17.40	22.61	-11.39	35.98	20.10
Median	38.52	4.40	16.09	-13.67	5.34	9.10	18.43	-12.63	32.22	15.79
3rd Quartile	32.64	0.46	13.94	-16.94	3.27	4.19	14.78	-15.99	28.80	12.02
95th Percentile	24.88	-3.30	8.75	-24.18	-5.46	-3.95	8.48	-18.81	25.16	7.57
Population	48	51	49	54	53	55	57	55	50	47


DFA Emerging Markets Value Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026





eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity

	Return	Standard Deviation
■ DFA Emerging Markets Value	21.03	13.87
◆ MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)	22.30	16.81
— Median	23.87	16.51
Population	46	46



eV Emg Mkts All Cap Value Equity

	Return	Standard Deviation
■ DFA Emerging Markets Value	10.76	15.23
◆ MSCI Emerging Markets Value (Net)	9.17	17.13
— Median	11.20	17.82
Population	43	43



Total Fixed Income Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Total Fixed Income	335,286,658	100.0	0.9	1.0	4.0	4.0	4.8	0.4	2.3	7.4	2.4	6.2	-14.2	0.6
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	0.1	1.5	7.3	1.3	5.5	-13.0	-1.5
Income Research & Management	143,743,539	42.9	0.8	0.7	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	7.3	1.7	-	-	-
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	-	-	-	7.3	1.3	-	-	-
<i>eV US Core Plus Fixed Inc Rank</i>			91	81	82	82	-	-	-	80	82	-	-	-
Mackay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	144,674,283	43.1	1.0	1.2	4.2	4.2	5.5	0.5	2.4	7.6	3.3	6.7	-14.5	-0.5
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			0.7	0.6	3.8	3.8	4.2	0.1	1.5	7.3	1.3	5.5	-13.0	-1.5
<i>eV US Core Plus Fixed Inc Rank</i>			56	13	56	56	25	56	57	49	21	47	84	54
BlackRock US TIPS	46,868,836	14.0	0.9	1.3	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6	6.9	2.0	3.9	-11.9	5.9
<i>Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index</i>			0.9	1.2	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6	7.0	1.8	3.9	-11.8	6.0
<i>eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc Rank</i>			28	48	39	39	52	49	52	60	54	44	67	26

Fixed Income Style Map
3 Years Ending June 30, 2026



Fixed Income Style Map
5 Years Ending June 30, 2026



Total Fixed Income Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026


	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Total Fixed Income	335,286,658	100.0	0.9	1.0	4.0	4.0	4.8	0.4	2.3	7.4	2.4	6.2	-14.2	0.6
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>7.3</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>-13.0</i>	<i>-1.5</i>
Income Research & Management	143,743,539	42.9	0.8	0.7	3.9	3.9	-	-	-	7.3	1.7	-	-	-
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>7.3</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>	<i>-</i>
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	144,674,283	43.1	1.0	1.2	4.2	4.2	5.5	0.5	2.4	7.6	3.3	6.7	-14.5	-0.5
<i>Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index</i>			<i>0.7</i>	<i>0.6</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>3.8</i>	<i>4.2</i>	<i>0.1</i>	<i>1.5</i>	<i>7.3</i>	<i>1.3</i>	<i>5.5</i>	<i>-13.0</i>	<i>-1.5</i>
BlackRock US TIPS	46,868,836	14.0	0.9	1.3	3.4	3.4	4.0	1.0	2.6	6.9	2.0	3.9	-11.9	5.9
<i>Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index</i>			<i>0.9</i>	<i>1.2</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>3.4</i>	<i>4.0</i>	<i>1.0</i>	<i>2.6</i>	<i>7.0</i>	<i>1.8</i>	<i>3.9</i>	<i>-11.8</i>	<i>6.0</i>

Correlation Matrix Last 5 Years				
	Total Fixed Income	MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	BlackRock US TIPS	Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index
Total Fixed Income	1.00			
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	1.00	1.00		
BlackRock US TIPS	0.91	0.88	1.00	
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index	0.99	0.99	0.86	1.00

Managers need 5 years of history to appear on the Correlation Matrix.

MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities Cumulative Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)


Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026



	Quarter	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	7 Years	10 Years
● MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	1.01 (56)	1.24 (13)	4.24 (56)	4.24 (56)	5.45 (25)	0.54 (56)	2.07 (42)	2.37 (57)
▲ Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index	0.67 (96)	0.62 (93)	3.79 (92)	3.79 (92)	4.16 (93)	0.08 (83)	1.22 (97)	1.54 (99)
5th Percentile	1.64	1.49	5.15	5.15	6.39	2.51	3.30	3.65
1st Quartile	1.22	1.07	4.65	4.65	5.41	0.95	2.37	2.80
Median	1.04	0.90	4.28	4.28	5.01	0.63	2.01	2.50
3rd Quartile	0.90	0.76	3.99	3.99	4.66	0.32	1.69	2.13
95th Percentile	0.67	0.52	3.63	3.63	4.06	-0.13	1.33	1.80
Population	149	149	148	148	144	139	129	115

MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities
Consecutive Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)


Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026



	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
● MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	7.59 (49)	3.27 (21)	6.74 (47)	-14.51 (84)	-0.49 (54)	9.91 (21)	9.67 (56)	-1.03 (76)	4.53 (57)	4.69 (42)
▲ Blmng. U.S. Aggregate Index	7.30 (80)	1.25 (90)	5.53 (92)	-13.01 (39)	-1.55 (91)	7.51 (81)	8.72 (81)	0.01 (26)	3.54 (91)	2.65 (94)
5th Percentile	8.90	5.02	7.90	-7.68	2.14	12.56	11.79	1.28	6.75	7.88
1st Quartile	8.14	3.06	7.23	-12.54	0.18	9.61	10.63	0.02	5.17	5.56
Median	7.59	2.43	6.70	-13.39	-0.38	8.81	9.75	-0.57	4.64	4.44
3rd Quartile	7.36	1.91	6.08	-14.12	-0.97	7.81	9.03	-1.02	4.13	3.63
95th Percentile	6.93	0.92	5.36	-15.88	-1.67	5.23	7.28	-1.75	3.31	2.61
Population	151	153	160	163	164	160	160	159	156	152


MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities Risk vs Return Three & Five Year (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System Period Ending: June 30, 2026





eV US Core Plus Fixed Inc

	Return	Standard Deviation
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	5.45	5.49
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index	4.16	5.48
Median	5.01	5.53
Population	144	144



eV US Core Plus Fixed Inc


	Return	Standard Deviation
MacKay Shields Core Plus Opportunities	0.54	6.65
Blmbg. U.S. Aggregate Index	0.08	6.32
Median	0.63	6.43
Population	139	139



BlackRock US TIPS Cumulative Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

BlackRock US TIPS vs. eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc




	Quarter	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	7 Years	10 Years
● BlackRock US TIPS	0.89 (28)	1.28 (48)	3.41 (39)	3.41 (39)	3.99 (52)	1.01 (49)	2.82 (48)	2.63 (52)
▲ Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index	0.89 (28)	1.15 (65)	3.42 (38)	3.42 (38)	3.98 (52)	1.01 (50)	2.79 (48)	2.58 (55)
5th Percentile	1.48	2.29	4.40	4.40	5.63	3.63	4.18	3.67
1st Quartile	0.92	1.35	3.55	3.55	4.84	2.27	3.34	2.94
Median	0.82	1.24	3.33	3.33	4.04	1.00	2.76	2.66
3rd Quartile	0.70	1.11	3.19	3.19	3.81	0.78	2.61	2.43
95th Percentile	0.54	0.69	2.55	2.55	3.49	0.43	2.23	2.29
Population	44	44	44	44	43	43	43	40

BlackRock US TIPS


Consecutive Performance Comparison (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

BlackRock US TIPS vs. eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc




	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016
● BlackRock US TIPS	6.88 (60)	1.99 (54)	3.94 (44)	-11.94 (67)	5.86 (26)	11.15 (26)	8.46 (32)	-1.19 (39)	3.17 (35)	4.77 (32)
▲ Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index	7.01 (44)	1.84 (65)	3.90 (50)	-11.85 (62)	5.96 (20)	10.99 (32)	8.43 (33)	-1.26 (43)	3.01 (46)	4.68 (37)
5th Percentile	8.53	4.97	5.60	-3.74	7.69	14.32	9.35	-0.26	4.31	7.70
1st Quartile	7.33	3.01	4.37	-7.30	5.86	11.20	8.55	-0.87	3.37	4.92
Median	6.97	2.01	3.90	-11.65	5.59	10.56	8.30	-1.35	2.96	4.43
3rd Quartile	6.72	1.75	3.48	-12.06	5.27	8.34	6.88	-1.68	2.22	3.95
95th Percentile	5.39	0.80	0.92	-12.93	4.73	4.30	5.90	-4.39	1.65	3.26
Population	48	49	51	52	52	51	53	56	58	61





eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc

	Return	Standard Deviation
■ BlackRock US TIPS	3.99	4.14
◆ Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index	3.98	4.16
— Median	4.04	4.09
Population	43	43



eV US TIPS / Inflation Fixed Inc

	Return	Standard Deviation
■ BlackRock US TIPS	1.01	5.99
◆ Blmbg. U.S. TIPS Index	1.01	5.99
— Median	1.00	5.94
Population	43	43



Total Real Estate
Asset Class Overview (Net of Fees)

Imperial County Employees' Retirement System
Period Ending: June 30, 2026

	Market Value	% of Portfolio	3 Mo	YTD	Fiscal YTD	1 Yr	3 Yrs	5 Yrs	10 Yrs	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
Total Real Estate	106,127,463	100.0	0.8	1.5	3.4	3.4	-2.8	0.4	3.2	3.4	-5.9	-14.3	8.5	18.4
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7
NCREIF ODCE			1.5	2.8	4.4	4.4	-0.6	2.7	4.4	3.8	-1.4	-12.0	7.5	22.2
ASB Real Estate	22,473,053	21.2	1.9	3.0	5.0	5.0	-6.8	-2.8	0.7	4.7	-15.2	-21.5	10.7	14.2
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7
NCREIF ODCE			1.5	2.8	4.4	4.4	-0.6	2.7	4.4	3.8	-1.4	-12.0	7.5	22.2
Clarion Lion	29,628,900	27.9	1.8	3.1	5.8	5.8	0.1	2.4	4.8	5.3	-2.3	-15.5	9.6	23.6
NCREIF Property Index			1.2	2.4	4.9	4.9	1.1	3.2	4.7	4.9	0.4	-7.9	5.5	17.7
NCREIF ODCE			1.5	2.8	4.4	4.4	-0.6	2.7	4.4	3.8	-1.4	-12.0	7.5	22.2
ARA American Strategic Value Realty	51,889,662	48.9	-0.2	0.1	1.5	1.5	-2.6	0.9	-	1.9	-3.7	-10.3	6.8	18.6
NCREIF Property Index +2%			1.7	3.5	7.0	7.0	3.1	5.3	-	7.0	2.4	-6.1	7.6	20.0
NCREIF ODCE +2%			2.0	3.8	6.5	6.5	1.4	4.8	-	5.9	0.5	-10.3	9.6	24.6
1221 State St. Corp	2,135,849	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

FYE 6/30.

Data Sources & Methodology

Performance Return Calculations

Performance is calculated using Modified Dietz and for time periods with large cash flow (generally greater than 10% of portfolio value), Time Weighted Rates of Return (TWRR) methodologies. Monthly returns are geometrically linked and annualized for periods longer than one year.

Data Source

Cerity Partners Retirement Plan Consultants, LLC (d/b/a "Cerity Partners Institutional Consulting" and referenced herein as "CPIC"), is an independent third party consulting firm and calculates returns from best source book of record data. Returns calculated by CPIC may deviate from those shown by the manager in part, but not limited to, differences in prices and market values reported by the custodian and manager, as well as significant cash flows into or out of an account. It is the responsibility of the manager and custodian to provide insight into the pricing methodologies and any difference in valuation.

Illiquid Alternatives

Due to the inability to receive final valuation prior to report production, closed end funds (including but are not limited to Real Estate, Hedge Funds, Private Equity, and Private Credit) performance is typically reported at a one-quarter lag. Valuation is reported at a one-quarter lag, adjusted for current quarter flow (cash flows are captured real time). Closed end fund performance is calculated using a time-weighted return methodology consistent with all portfolio and total fund performance calculations. For Private Markets, performance reports also include CPIC-calculated multiples based on flows and valuations (e.g. DPI and TVPI) and manager-provided IRRs.

Manager Line Up

Manager	Inception Date	Data Source	Manager	Inception Date	Data Source
BlackRock Russell 3000	12/10/2015	J.P. Morgan	Cash	-	J.P. Morgan
BlackRock International Equity	7/3/2003	J.P. Morgan	HarbourVest IX-Buyout	2011 ¹	HarbourVest
DFA Emerging Markets Value	1/11/2007	J.P. Morgan	HarbourVest IX-Credit	2011 ¹	HarbourVest
MacKay Shields Core Plus Ops	3/2/2015	CITCO	HarbourVest International VI	2008 ¹	HarbourVest
BlackRock US TIPS	4/11/2007	J.P. Morgan	Harbourvest IX-Venture	2011 ¹	HarbourVest
ASB Real Estate	12/31/2012	ASB Real Estate	Harbourvest 2017 Global	2017 ¹	HarbourVest
Clarion Lion	12/31/2006	Clarion Lion	Harbourvest 2018 Global	2018 ¹	HarbourVest
Portfolio Advisors	10/31/2017	Portfolio Advisors	Harbourvest 2019 Global	2019 ¹	HarbourVest
TSSP Adjacent Opportunities Partners	4/16/2020	Sixth Street	Harbourvest 2023 Global	2023 ¹	HarbourVest
Sixth Street Diversified Credit	5/29/2020	Sixth Street	Harbourvest 2025 Global	2025 ¹	HarbourVest
1221 State Street Corp	9/30/2008	ICERS/Union Bank	KKR Mezzanine	2010 ¹	KKR
Income Research & Management	9/1/2023	IR&M	ARA American Strategic Value Realty	01/04/2018	ARA
Crescent Direct Lending Levered Fund II	2017 ¹	Crescent	William Blair Emerging Growth	7/02/2024	William Blair
Audax Direct Lending Fund A	2017 ¹	Audax	Lone Star Fund XI	2019 ¹	Lone Star
Ares Capital Europe IV	2018 ¹	Ares	Ascribe Opportunities IV	2019 ¹	Ascribe

¹Represents fund vintage year.

Policy & Custom Index Composition

Policy Index (03/1/2025-Present)	33% Russell 3000, 17% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 22% Bloomberg Aggregate, 4% Bloomberg TIPS, 10% NCREIF Property, 5% Private Equity Benchmark, 8% Private Credit Benchmark, 1% 90 Day T-Bills.
Policy Index (12/1/2023-2/28/2025)	33% Russell 3000, 17% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 27% Bloomberg Aggregate, 10% NCREIF Property, 5% Private Equity Benchmark, 8% Private Credit Benchmark.
Policy Index (8/1/2020- 11/30/2023)	33% Russell 3000, 20% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 29% Bloomberg Aggregate, 10% NCREIF Property, 5% Private Equity Benchmark, 3% Private Credit Benchmark.
Policy Index (1/1/2020-7/31/2020)	29% Russell 3000, 24% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 27% Bloomberg Aggregate, 10% NCREIF Property, 1% Russell 3000, 2% Bloomberg Aggregate, 4% Private Equity Benchmark, 3% Private Credit Benchmark.
Policy Index (10/1/2018-12/31/2019)	29% Russell 3000, 24% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 27% Bloomberg Aggregate, 10% NCREIF Property, 5% Russell 3000 +3% (Lagged), 5% Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged).
Policy Index (10/1/2016-9/30/2018)	29% Russell 3000, 24% MSCI ACWI ex USA Gross, 27% Bloomberg Aggregate, 5% NCREIF Property, 5% NCREIF Property +2%, 5% Russell 3000 +3% (Lagged), 5% Bloomberg High Yield +2% (Lagged).

Private Equity Benchmark and Private Credit Benchmarks are equal to the actual private equity and private credit returns, respectively.

Glossary

Allocation Effect: An attribution effect that describes the amount attributable to the managers' asset allocation decisions, relative to the benchmark.

Alpha: The excess return of a portfolio after adjusting for market risk. This excess return is attributable to the selection skill of the portfolio manager. Alpha is calculated as: $\text{Portfolio Return} - [\text{Risk free Rate} + \text{Portfolio Beta} \times (\text{Market Return} - \text{Risk free Rate})]$.

Benchmark R squared: Measures how well the Benchmark return series fits the manager's return series. The higher the Benchmark R squared, the more appropriate the benchmark is for the manager.

Beta: A measure of systematic, or market risk; the part of risk in a portfolio or security that is attributable to general market movements. Beta is calculated by dividing the covariance of a security by the variance of the market.

Book to Market: The ratio of book value per share to market price per share. Growth managers typically have low book to market ratios while value managers typically have high book to market ratios.

Capture Ratio: A statistical measure of an investment manager's overall performance in up or down markets. The capture ratio is used to evaluate how well an investment manager performed relative to an index during periods when that index has risen (up market) or fallen (down market). The capture ratio is calculated by dividing the manager's returns by the returns of the index during the up/down market, and multiplying that factor by 100.

Correlation: A measure of the relative movement of returns of one security or asset class relative to another over time. A correlation of 1 means the returns of two securities move in lock step, a correlation of -1 means the returns of two securities move in the exact opposite direction over time. Correlation is used as a measure to help maximize the benefits of diversification when constructing an investment portfolio.

Excess Return: A measure of the difference in appreciation or depreciation in the price of an investment compared to its benchmark, over a given time period. This is usually expressed as a percentage and may be annualized over a number of years or represent a single period.

Information Ratio: A measure of a manager's ability to earn excess return without incurring additional risk. Information ratio is calculated as: excess return divided by tracking error.

Interaction Effect: An attribution effect that describes the portion of active management that is contributable to the cross interaction between the allocation and selection effect. This can also be explained as an effect that cannot be easily traced to a source.

Portfolio Turnover: The percentage of a portfolio that is sold and replaced (turned over) during a given time period. Low portfolio turnover is indicative of a buy and hold strategy while high portfolio turnover implies a more active form of management.

Price to Earnings Ratio (P/E): Also called the earnings multiplier, it is calculated by dividing the price of a company's stock into earnings per share. Growth managers typically hold stocks with high price to earnings ratios whereas value managers hold stocks with low price to earnings ratios.

R Squared: Also called the coefficient of determination, it measures the amount of variation in one variable explained by variations in another, i.e., the goodness of fit to a benchmark. In the case of investments, the term is used to explain the amount of variation in a security or portfolio explained by movements in the market or the portfolio's benchmark.

Selection Effect: An attribution effect that describes the amount attributable to the managers' stock selection decisions, relative to the benchmark.

Sharpe Ratio: A measure of portfolio efficiency. The Sharpe Ratio indicates excess portfolio return for each unit of risk associated with achieving the excess return. The higher the Sharpe Ratio, the more efficient the portfolio. Sharpe ratio is calculated as: $\text{Portfolio Excess Return} / \text{Portfolio Standard Deviation}$.

Sortino Ratio: Measures the risk adjusted return of an investment, portfolio, or strategy. It is a modification of the Sharpe Ratio, but penalizes only those returns falling below a specified benchmark. The Sortino Ratio uses downside deviation in the denominator rather than standard deviation, like the Sharpe Ratio.

Standard Deviation: A measure of volatility, or risk, inherent in a security or portfolio. The standard deviation of a series is a measure of the extent to which observations in the series differ from the arithmetic mean of the series. For example, if a security has an average annual rate of return of 10% and a standard deviation of 5%, then two thirds of the time, one would expect to receive an annual rate of return between 5% and 15%.

Style Analysis: A return based analysis designed to identify combinations of passive investments to closely replicate the performance of funds

Style Map: A specialized form or scatter plot chart typically used to show where a Manager lies in relation to a set of style indices on a two dimensional plane. This is simply a way of viewing the asset loadings in a different context. The coordinates are calculated by rescaling the asset loadings to range from -1 to 1 on each axis and are dependent on the Style Indices comprising the Map.

Disclosure

Cerity Partners Retirement Plan Advisors LLC, doing business as Retirement Plan Consultants ("RPA" or "RPC") and Cerity Partners Institutional Consulting ("CPIC"), is an SEC-registered investment adviser. Registration as an investment adviser does not imply any level of skill or training. RPA is a related adviser within the Cerity Partners organization. RPA provides retirement plan consulting services only.

The information contained herein is not personalized investment, tax, or legal advice and is for informational purposes only. There is no guarantee that any views or opinions expressed will come to pass. This information is subject to change without notice and should not be considered an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Before making any decision that may affect your retirement plan or finances, consult a qualified professional adviser.

For information about RPA's registration status, refer to the Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov. For additional information about RPA's services, fees, conflicts of interest, and related persons, please request our Form ADV Part 2A and Form CRS disclosure documents. Please read these documents carefully before engaging our services.

© 2026 Cerity Partners Retirement Plan Advisors LLC. All rights reserved.

This report contains confidential and proprietary information and is subject to the terms and conditions of the Consulting Agreement. It is being provided for use solely by the customer. The report may not be sold or otherwise provided, in whole or in part, to any other person or entity without written permission from CPIC or as required by law or any regulatory authority. The information presented does not constitute a recommendation by CPIC and cannot be used for advertising or sales promotion purposes. This does not constitute an offer or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities, commodities or any other financial instruments or products.

The information presented has been prepared using data from third party sources that CPIC believes to be reliable. While CPIC exercised reasonable professional care in preparing the report, it cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information provided by third party sources. Therefore, CPIC makes no representations or warranties as to the accuracy of the information presented. CPIC takes no responsibility or liability (including damages) for any error, omission, or inaccuracy in the data supplied by any third party. Nothing contained herein is, or should be relied on as a promise, representation, or guarantee as to future performance or a particular outcome. Even with portfolio diversification, asset allocation, and a long-term approach, investing involves risk of loss that the investor should be prepared to bear.

The information presented may be deemed to contain forward-looking information. Examples of forward looking information include, but are not limited to, (a) projections of or statements regarding return on investment, future earnings, interest income, other income, growth prospects, capital structure and other financial terms, (b) statements of plans or objectives of management, (c) statements of future economic performance, and (d) statements of assumptions, such as economic conditions underlying other statements. Such forward-looking information can be identified by the use of forward looking terminology such as believes, expects, may, will, should, anticipates, or the negative of any of the foregoing or other variations thereon comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy. No assurance can be given that the future results described by the forward-looking information will be achieved. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and other factors which could cause the actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward looking information. The findings, rankings, and opinions expressed herein are the intellectual property of CPIC and are subject to change without notice. The information presented does not claim to be all-inclusive, nor does it contain all information that clients may desire for their purposes. The information presented should be read in conjunction with any other material provided by CPIC, investment managers, and custodians.

Disclosure

CPIC will make every reasonable effort to obtain and include accurate market values. However, if managers or custodians are unable to provide the reporting period's market values prior to the report issuance, CPIC may use the last reported market value or make estimates based on the manager's stated or estimated returns and other information available at the time. These estimates may differ materially from the actual value. Hedge fund market values presented in this report are provided by the fund manager or custodian. Market values presented for private equity investments reflect the last reported NAV by the custodian or manager net of capital calls and distributions as of the end of the reporting period. These values are estimates and may differ materially from the investments actual value. Private equity managers report performance using an internal rate of return (IRR), which differs from the time-weighted rate of return (TWRR) calculation done by CPIC. It is inappropriate to compare IRR and TWRR to each other. IRR figures reported in the illiquid alternative pages are provided by the respective managers, and CPIC has not made any attempts to verify these returns. Until a partnership is liquidated (typically over 10-12 years), the IRR is only an interim estimated return. The actual IRR performance of any LP is not known until the final liquidation.

Net-of-Fees Returns mean gross-of-fees returns reduced by fees and expenses charged by third-party investment managers on the products of such managers held by client.

Net-of-Fees Returns does not include a reduction of returns for CPIC' investment management and consulting fees, or other expenses incurred by the asset owner, fund or plan.

CPIC receives universe data from InvMetrics, eVestment Alliance, and Morningstar. We believe this data to be robust and appropriate for peer comparison. Nevertheless, these universes may not be comprehensive of all peer investors/managers but rather of the investors/managers that comprise that database. The resulting universe composition is not static and will change over time. Returns are annualized when they cover more than one year. Investment managers may revise their data after report distribution. CPIC will make the appropriate correction to the client account but may or may not disclose the change to the client based on the materiality of the change.